

## The uniform Turán density

Def: Given  $d \in [0, 1]$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , a 3-graph  $H = (V, E)$  is called uniformly  $(d, \gamma)$ -dense if for every  $U \subseteq V$ , we have  $|U^{(3)} \cap E| \geq d \binom{|U|}{3} - \gamma |V|^3$ .

Def: The uniform Turán density of a 3-graph  $F$  is

$$\pi_{\bullet\bullet}(F) = \sup \{ d \in [0, 1] : \text{for every } \gamma > 0 \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \text{there is an } F\text{-free, uniformly } (d, \gamma)\text{-dense} \\ \text{3-graph } H \text{ with } |V(H)| \geq n \}$$

Prob (Erdős, Sós): Determine  $\pi_{\bullet\bullet}(K_4^{(3)})$  and  $\pi_{\bullet\bullet}(K_4)$

Thm: (Glebov, Král', Volec and Reiher, Rödl, Schacht)

$$\pi_{\bullet\bullet}(K_4^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{4}$$

Constr: Consider a random tournament  $T_n$  on  $[n]$ . That means for each  $\{i, j\} \in [n]$ , one of the two orientations  $(i, j)$  and  $(j, i)$  is chosen independently at random with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Then let  $H(T_n)$  be the hypergraph on the same vertex set for which the edges are given by cyclically oriented triangles of  $T_n$ , i.e.  $\{i, j, k\}$  forms an edge if  $(i, j), (j, k),$  and  $(k, i)$  appear in  $T_n$  or  $(j, i), (i, k), (k, j)$ .

Azuma-Hoeffding yields that for every  $\eta > 0$ ,  $H(T_n)$  is asymptotically almost surely uniformly  $(\frac{1}{4}, \eta)$ -dense (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). In addition, it can easily be seen that  $H(T_n)$  is  $K_4^{(3)-}$ -free. This implies  $\pi_0(K_4^{(3)-}) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ .

Def: Let  $J$  be a set of indices, for all  $ij \in J^{(2)}$ , let  $\mathcal{P}^{ij}$  be a set of vertices which are disjoint for  $ij \neq i'j'$ , and for  $ijk \in J^{(3)}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}^{ijk}$  be a 3-partite 3-graph with partition classes  $\mathcal{P}^{ij}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^{ik}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}^{jk}$ . Then the 3-graph  $\mathcal{A}$  with vertex set  $V(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{ij \in J^{(2)}} \mathcal{P}^{ij}$  and edge set  $E(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{ijk \in J^{(3)}} E(\mathcal{A}^{ijk})$  is called a reduced hypergraph with index set  $J$ , vertex classes  $\mathcal{P}^{ij}$  and constituents  $\mathcal{A}^{ijk}$ . We will say 'let  $\mathcal{A} = (J, \mathcal{P}^{ij}, \mathcal{A}^{ijk})$  be a reduced hypergraph.

Def: Let  $\mathcal{A} = (J, \mathcal{P}^{ij}, \mathcal{A}^{ijk})$  be a reduced hypergraph and let  $d \in [0, 1]$  be a real number. If  $e(\mathcal{A}^{ijk}) \geq d |\mathcal{P}^{ij}| |\mathcal{P}^{ik}| |\mathcal{P}^{jk}|$  holds for all  $ijk \in J^{(3)}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $(d, \cdot, \cdot)$ -dense.

Def: A reduced map from a 3-graph  $F$  to a reduced hypergraph  $\mathcal{A} = ([I, \mathcal{P}^i, \mathcal{A}^{ijk})$  is a pair  $(\lambda, \varphi)$  such that

- (1)  $\lambda: V(F) \rightarrow I$  and  $\varphi: \partial F \rightarrow V(\mathcal{A})$
- (2) for  $uv \in \partial F$ , we have  $\lambda(u) \neq \lambda(v)$  and  $\varphi(uv) \in \mathcal{P}^{\lambda(u)\lambda(v)}$
- (3) if  $uvw \in E(F)$ , then  $\varphi(uv)\varphi(uw)\varphi(vw) \in E(\mathcal{A}^{\lambda(u)\lambda(v)\lambda(w)})$ .

If there is a reduced map from  $F$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{A}$  contains a reduced image of  $F$ , otherwise  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  $F$ -free.

Thm (Reiher, Rödl, Schacht) For a 3-graph  $F$ , we have

$$\pi_*(F) = \sup \{ d \in [0, 1] : \text{For every } M \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ there is a } (d, \cdot, \cdot)\text{-dense, } F\text{-free reduced hypergraph } \mathcal{A} \text{ with index set } [M] \}$$

proof of  $\pi_*(K_4^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{4}$  using the theorem above (Reiher, Rödl, Schacht):

Due to the above theorem and the above construction, it is enough to show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some large  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every  $(\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon, \cdot, \cdot)$ -dense reduced hypergraph with index set  $[M]$  contains a reduced image of  $K_4^{(3)}$ .

Let thus  $\mathcal{A} = ([M], \mathcal{P}^i, \mathcal{A}^{ijk})$  be a  $(\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon, \cdot, \cdot)$ -dense reduced hypergraph. We need to find distinct  $i_1, \dots, i_4 \in [M]$  and vertices  $p_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{P}^{\alpha\beta}$  with  $\alpha\beta \in [4]^{(2)}$  such that

$$p_{i_1 i_2} p_{i_1 i_3} p_{i_2 i_3}, p_{i_1 i_2} p_{i_1 i_4} p_{i_2 i_4}, p_{i_1 i_3} p_{i_1 i_4} p_{i_3 i_4} \in E(\mathcal{A}).$$

Let  $ijke \in [M]^{(3)}$  with  $i < j < k$ . Define the bipartite graph  $Q_{ijk}^i$  on the vertex set  $\mathcal{P}^{ij} \cup \mathcal{P}^{ik}$  by placing an edge between  $w \in \mathcal{P}^{ij}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{P}^{ik}$  if there is a vertex  $u \in \mathcal{P}^{jk}$  such that  $wvu \in E(\mathcal{A}^{ijk})$ .

Similarly, define the bipartite graph  $Q_{ij}^i$  on the vertex set  $\mathcal{P}^{ik} \cup \mathcal{P}^{jk}$  by placing an edge between  $v \in \mathcal{P}^{ik}$  and  $w \in \mathcal{P}^{jk}$  if there is a vertex  $u \in \mathcal{P}^{ij}$  such that  $uvw \in E(A^{ijk})$ . Note that by double counting and Cauchy-Schwarz, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathcal{P}^{ij}| |\mathcal{P}^{ik}| |\mathcal{P}^{jk}| &\leq e(A^{ijk}) \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}^{ik}} d_{Q_{jk}^i}(v) d_{Q_{ij}^k}(v) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}^{ik}} d_{Q_{jk}^i}^2(v) \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}^{ik}} d_{Q_{ij}^k}^2(v)} \end{aligned}$$

and so (1)  $\sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}^{ik}} d_{Q_{jk}^i}^2(v) \geq \left(\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathcal{P}^{ij}|^2 |\mathcal{P}^{ik}|$  or

(2)  $\sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}^{ik}} d_{Q_{ij}^k}^2(v) \geq \left(\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon\right) |\mathcal{P}^{ik}| |\mathcal{P}^{jk}|^2$ .

By Ramsey, we get  $M_1$  indices, w.l.o.g.  $[M_1]$ , such that for all  $ijk \in [M_1]^{(3)}$  with  $i < j < k$ , the same option, say (1), holds.

Now we view  $\bar{Q}^i = \bigcup_{\substack{ijk \in [M_1] \\ 1 \leq j < k}} Q_{jk}^i$  as an  $M_1$ -partite graph. Observing that a triangle in  $\bar{Q}^i$  will yield the desired reduced image of  $K_4^{(3)-}$ , we finish the proof with the following lemma.

lemma: For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $G$  is an  $m$ -partite graph with non-empty vertex classes  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  satisfying

$$\sum_{v \in V_i} d_j^2(x) \geq \left(\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon\right) |V_i| |V_j|^2 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq m,$$

(where  $d_j(x)$  denotes the degree of  $x$  in  $V_j$ ), then  $G$  contains a triangle.

proof: Let  $m^{-1} \ll m_1^{-1} \ll \delta \ll \varepsilon$  and let  $G$  be as above. Assume  $G$  does not contain a triangle. For distinct  $i, j \in [m]$  with  $i < j$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$R_{ij}(r) = \{x \in V_i : d_j(x) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + r\delta\right) |V_j|\}.$$

Note that  $\left(\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon\right) |V_i| |V_j|^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta\right)^2 |V_i| |R_{ij}(1)| |V_j|^2 + |R_{ij}(1)| |V_j|^2$

and so by the above hierarchy, we get  $|R_{ij}(1)| \geq \delta |V_i|$ .

Note further that  $|R_{ij}(r)|$  is decreasing in  $r$  and is zero for  $r > \frac{1}{2\delta}$ . So we can set  $r(i, j)$  to

~~be~~ be the maximum  $r$  such that  $|R_{ij}(r)| \geq \delta |V_i|$ .

By Ramsey, we get that there are  $m_1$  indices, w.l.o.g.  $[m_1]$ , such that for some  $r_*$ , we have

$r(i, j) = r_*$  for all  $i, j \in [m_1]^{(2)}$  with  $i < j$ . By pigeonhole, there are two indices, say 2 and 3, such that

$R_{12}(r_*) \cap R_{13}(r_*) \neq \emptyset$ , say  $x \in R_{12}(r_*) \cap R_{13}(r_*)$ .

Setting  $A_2 = N_2(x)$ ,  $B_2 = V_2 \setminus A_2$ ,  $A_3 = N_3(x)$ , and  $B_3 = A_3 \setminus N_3(x)$ ,

we have for  $y \in A_2$  that  $d_3(y) \leq |B_3| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - r_*\delta\right) |V_3|$

and for  $y \in B_2 \setminus R_{23}(r_*+1)$  that  $d_3(y) < \left(\frac{1}{2} + (r_*+1)\delta\right) |V_3|$ .

Meanwhile, we also have  $|R_{23}(r_*+1)| < \delta |V_2|$ .

This gives

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon\right) \|V_2\| \|V_3\|^2 \leq \sum_{x \in V_2} d_3(x)^2 \leq |A_2| \left(\frac{1}{2} - r_* \delta\right)^2 \|V_3\| + |B_2| \left(\frac{1}{2} + (r_* + 1)\delta\right)^2 \|V_3\|^2 + \delta \|V_2\| \|V_3\|$$

Keeping in mind that  $|A_2| \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + r_* \delta\right) \|V_2\|$  and  $|A_2| + |B_2| = \|V_2\|$  we conclude

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon\right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - r_* \delta\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + r_* \delta\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - r_* \delta\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + (r_* + 1)\delta\right)^2 + \delta$$

contradicting  $\delta \ll \varepsilon$ .