

Hypergraph Lagrangians

Def: Given a k -graph H with vertex set $[n]$ we set $p_H(t) = \sum_{e \in E(H)} \prod_{i \in e} t_i$ for any vector

$$t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Remark: Note that $p_H(t)$ is the number of edges of the hypergraph obtained from H by replacing each vertex i by t_i copies (for t being a vector of non-negative integers).

Def: The Lagrangian of a k -graph H is

$$\lambda(H) = \max_{\substack{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ x_i \geq 0 \\ \sum x_i = 1}} p_H(x)$$

Def: A k -graph H is dense if $\lambda(H') < \lambda(H)$ for all $H' \neq H$.

Prop: For a k -graph F , we have

$$\pi(F) = \sup \{ \lambda(H) \cdot k! : H \text{ is } F\text{-hom-free and dense} \}$$

proof: By definition it is enough to show $\pi(F) = \sup_{H \text{ is } F\text{-hom-free}} k! \lambda(H)$

" \geq ": Let H be an F -hom-free k -graph on n vertices and let $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be a vector of non-negative integers.

Then $H(t)$ is an hom- F -free k -graph on $|t| = \sum t_i$ vertices with density $\frac{P_H(t)}{\binom{|t|}{k}}$. Further, for an integer

$m \geq 1$, $H(mt)$ has density $\frac{m^k |t|^k}{\binom{m|t|}{k}} P_H\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right)$,

whence $\pi(F) \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(H(mt)) = k! P_H\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right)$.

Since for each vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $x_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in [n]$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is some vector $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of non-negative integers with $P_H\left(\frac{t}{|t|}\right) \geq P_H(x) - \varepsilon$, we get

$\pi(F) \geq k! \lambda(H)$.

" \leq " For every k -graph H on n vertices, we have

$$k! \lambda(H) \geq k! p_H\left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{k!}{n^k} e(H) = d(H) - O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\pi(F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{ex_{\text{hom}}(n, F)}{\binom{n}{k}} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{d(H) : H \text{ is } F\text{-hom-free on } n \text{ vertices}\}$$

$$\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{k! \lambda(H) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) : H \text{ is } F\text{-hom-free on } n \text{ vertices}\}$$

$$\leq \sup_{H \text{ is } F\text{-hom-free}} k! \lambda(H) + \varepsilon$$

and so the result follows.

Obs: If H is a dense k -graph, every pair of vertices in H is contained in an edge.

proof: If two vertices are not contained in an edge together, say i and j , any potential maximiser $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of $p_H(\cdot)$ could be replaced by x' with $x'_\ell = x_\ell$ for all $\ell \notin \{i, j\}$ and $x'_i = x_i + x_j$ and $x'_j = 0$ or $x'_i = 0$ and $x'_j = x_i + x_j$.

Remark: This gives an alternative proof for the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem. Since only complete graphs are dense and since for some graph F , K_t is F -hom-free if and only if $t < \chi(F)$, we get by the above proposition that

$$\pi(F) = \sup_{\substack{H \text{ is } F\text{-hom-free} \\ \text{and dense}}} 2\lambda(H) = \max_{t < \chi(F)} 2\lambda(K_t^{(2)})$$

To determine $\lambda(K_t^{(2)})$ let y_1, \dots, y_t be such that $y_i \geq 0$ for all i , $\sum y_i = 1$ and $\lambda(K_t^{(2)}) = P_{K_t^{(2)}}(y_1, \dots, y_t) = \sum_{i, j \in [t]^{(2)}} y_i y_j$.

By convexity, we have

$$1 = \left(\sum_{i \in [t]} y_i \right)^2 \leq t \sum_{i \in [t]} y_i^2 \Rightarrow \sum_{i \in [t]} y_i^2 \geq \frac{1}{t}$$

Using this, we get

$$1 = \left(\sum y_i \right)^2 = \sum y_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i, j \in [t]^{(2)}} y_i y_j \geq \frac{1}{t} + 2\lambda(K_t^{(2)})$$

whence $\lambda(K_t^{(2)}) \leq \frac{t-1}{2t} = \binom{t}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{t^2} = P_{K_t^{(2)}}\left(\frac{1}{t}, \dots, \frac{1}{t}\right)$

implying $\lambda_t(K_t^{(2)}) = \frac{t-1}{2t}$ and

thereby $\pi(F) = \frac{\chi(F)-2}{\chi(F)-1}$.

Lemma: Let H be a k -graph on n vertices. Call vertices i and j equivalent if (ij) is an automorphism on H . Then this is indeed an equivalence relation and

there are $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \geq 0$ such that $\sum \gamma_i = 1$, $\lambda(H) = P_H(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ with $\gamma_i = \gamma_j$ whenever i and j are equivalent.

proof: It is easy to check that this is an equivalence relation. For the second part, let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \geq 0$ be such that $\sum \gamma_i = 1$, $\lambda(H) = P_H(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ and such that subject to this $\sum \gamma_i^2$ is minimal. Let k, l be equivalent vertices. We claim that $\gamma_k = \gamma_l$. Note that

$$P_H\left(\frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{2}, \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{2}, \gamma_3, \dots, \gamma_n\right) - P_H(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots, \gamma_n) = \sum_{\{1,2\} \subseteq e \in E(H)} \left(\left(\frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{2} \right)^2 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \right) \prod_{i \in e \setminus \{1,2\}} \gamma_i \geq 0$$

} wlog assume $k=1, l=2$

since $\left(\frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{2} \right)^2 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2 = \left(\frac{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}{2} \right)^2 \geq 0$

Thus, $\left(\frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{2}, \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{2}, \gamma_3, \dots, \gamma_n \right)$ was among the candidates for $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$, so $\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 \leq 2 \left(\frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{2} \right)^2$ implying that $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$.

Cor: $\lambda(K_t^{(k)}) = \frac{1}{t^k} \binom{t}{k}$

Def: Let $H_t^{(k)}$ be a k -graph with vertex set

$$V(H_t^{(k)}) = \{x_i : i \in [t]\} \cup \{y_{ij}^{\ell} : ij \in [t]^{(2)}, \ell \in [k-2]\}$$

and edge set

$$E(H_t^{(k)}) = \{x_i x_j y_{ij}^1 - y_{ij}^{k-2} : ij \in [t]^{(2)}\}$$

Thm: (Mubayi): $\pi(H_{t+1}^{(k)}) = \frac{k!}{t^k} \binom{t}{k}$

proof: Note that $K_t^{(k)}(s)$ is $H_{t+1}^{(k)}$ -hom-free since

every homomorphism φ would map two x_i, x_j to the same partition class, meaning that $\varphi(x_i)$ and $\varphi(x_j)$ do not lie in an edge together, whereas x_i and x_j are contained together in an edge of $H_{t+1}^{(k)}$.

Further note that any dense k -graph H on at least $t+1$ vertices is not $H_{t+1}^{(k)}$ -hom-free. To see this, recall that

every pair in such an H is contained in an edge. Thus, we can map

x_1, \dots, x_{t+1} arbitrarily to $t+1$ distinct

vertices a_1, \dots, a_{t+1} and $y_{ij}^1, \dots, y_{ij}^{k-2}$ to

some $(k-2)$ -set of vertices that together

with a_i, a_j forms an edge. This tells us

$$\pi(H_{t+1}^{(k)}) = \sup_{\substack{H \text{ is } H_{t+1}^{(k)}\text{-hom-free} \\ \text{and dense}}} k! \lambda(H) = \max_{\substack{H \text{ is } k\text{-graph} \\ \text{on } s \leq t \text{ vertices} \\ \text{and dense}}} k! \lambda(H) = k! \lambda(K_t^{(k)}) = \frac{k!}{t^k} \binom{t}{k}$$