

Lecture 25

· Upper bound. <u>Rink</u>: balancedness is not needed. Len Let (T, R) be a rooted tree as / at least one root, $= \sum_{n \in I} Let (T, K) be a minimum of the contract of the$ Pf. Let t= |T| and G be an N-ux where $a = \frac{1}{p_{f}}$ and c > 2(t+p). • G $f \in G$ $(f) \neq d(G)_2 = cn^{1-a}$ $|H| = h \leq n$ greedy embedding => # labeled copies of (unrooted) T $\geq h \cdot \delta(H) \cdot (\delta(H) - 1) \cdots (\delta(H) - t + 2) \geq (\frac{c}{2})^{t-1} h \cdot n^{(t-1)(1-\alpha)}$ · # roots R < LIRI $\frac{(c_{12})^{t-1}h}{h^{(R)}} \stackrel{(t-1)(1-\alpha)}{\Rightarrow} \frac{(c_{12})^{t-1}h^{(t-1)(1-\alpha)}}{n^{(R)-1}} = \left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^{t-1} \stackrel{(t-1)(1-\alpha)}{\Rightarrow} P$ $\alpha s \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{\ell_{T}} = \frac{t - |R|}{t - 1}$ Lower bound requires the balancedness. Exer. Give an example of an unbelanced rooted tree (T, \mathbb{R}) , for which $e_X(n, T^{\mathbb{P}}) = \mathcal{N}_p(n^{2-\frac{1}{p}})$ is not true.

Len \forall balanced rooted tree (T,R), $\exists p \in N$ s.t. $e_{x}(n,T^{P}) = \Omega_{ip}(n^{2-Y_{P_{T}}})$ To show that any rational r E ((,2) can be an exponent for a finite fam. of forbidden graphs, it suffices to find balanced rooted tree (T,R) w./ 2-1/p_=r Write N= 2- % for some a, b E N. Exam Take a, $b \in \mathbb{N}$ w/ $a - 1 \leq b \leq 2a - 1$, let i = b - a. - Take an unrooted path on [a] · Tarb rooted tree ; - cedd an additional rooted leaf to ofeach. the itil VXS , LIt %; J, [It 2.%; J, ..., [I+(('-1) %; J, a. · For 67, 2a-1, define Ta,6 recursively by attaching a rooted leaf to each uprooted up of Ta, b-a. By constr, Ta, b has a unrooted uses and b edges $\Rightarrow l_{T_{a,b}} = b/a$ T₄, 7

Len V balanced rooted tree (T,R), ZPEN s.t. $e_{x}(n, T^{l}) = \Omega_{lp}(n^{2-\gamma}e_{T})$ Pt (Sketch). Let (T,R) be a rooted tree w/g-a unrooted uses - r = |R| moted uses s=2br, d=sb, n=2^b for some large prime power 2 -b edges. · Take 26-voriate indep. unif. rondom polyn. (ake 20-voriate unup. mig. f_{g} for f_{g} f_{g} $f_1(u,v) = \cdots = f_a(u,v) = 0 - \frac{a}{b}$ · In expectation, edge density = $g^{-\alpha} \Rightarrow F(e|G) = n^{2-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ We are left to show that the expected to appres of graphs in Before Ks, t 7°P is negligible. U Sus INt(U) (bound its moments · Fix vxs w, ..., w, in G and let U be the collection of Now γ^{P} H Rcopies of T in G rooted at four, ..., wr} U T T R R Plays tre role of IN*(U) We need to band the moments of $|\mathcal{U}|$

 $\frac{C(a)}{E(|\mathcal{U}|^{S})} = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} balancedness \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} balancedness \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} balancedness \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} balancedness \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} balancedness \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} balancedness \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) = O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \end{array}\right) + O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ bere \right) + O_{S}(I) \left(\begin{array}{c} |\mathcal{U}|^{S} \\ be$ • Using Lang-Weil bound => either $|\mathcal{U}| \leq C$ (depending only on T) or /21/3, 9/2 . Marka ineg => $P_r(|\mathcal{U}| > c) = P_r(|\mathcal{U}| \ge \frac{2}{2}) = P_r(|\mathcal{U}| \ge \frac{2}{2})$ $\leq \frac{\mathcal{O}_{s}(l)}{(\mathcal{V}_{z})^{s}}$ · Consequently the expected of bad {w, ..., w, } (i.e. sitting in more than C copies of T as nouts) $i_{s} \leq 2n^{r} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{O}_{s}(l)}{(\mathcal{Y}_{2})^{s}} = o(l)$ \Rightarrow $\mathbb{E}\left(e(G) - B \cdot n\right) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(e(G)\right)/_{2}$ - deletim p = C + 1Pf of Claim: Notice that [21]^S counts # ordered collection of s copies of T in G w./ roots fw, ..., wry . Each one of such s-typle corresponds to a graph H in 7^S. locally indep. For H E 7^S, the probability that H appears in G

is $q - \alpha \cdot e(H)$ write N_s(H) = # ordered callections of scopies of T w./ routs { co, ..., wr } whose union is a copy of H $\Rightarrow E(|\mathcal{U}|^{s}) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{T}^{s}} N_{s}(H) \cdot \frac{1}{2} - a \cdot e(H)$ Lem. . (T, R) balanced $\mathcal{N}_{S}(H) = \mathcal{O}_{S}(\mathcal{N}_{H}(H) - \mathcal{O}_{S}(\mathcal{N}_{H}(H) - \mathcal{O}_{S}(H)) =)$ rooted tree \cdot H \in 7° $= \sum_{H \in \gamma^{s}} O_{s} \left(n^{H - (R)} \right) \cdot 2^{-a \cdot e C H}$ $\Rightarrow l_T^2 \leq \frac{\ell(H)}{|H| - |R|}$ Induct on 5 $= O_{s} \left(\sum_{H \in 7^{s}} b(|H| - |R|) - a \cdot e(H) \right) \stackrel{\text{len}}{=} O_{s}(1) \cdot \underbrace{\vdots}_{t \in 7^{s}}$ Conj (subdivision conj Kang-Kim-L.) Let F be a bip. graph. If $e_x(n, F) = O(n^{1+\alpha})$ for some \$20, then $ex(n, sub(F)) = O(n^{\left|f\frac{\alpha}{2}\right|})$ =) its square has are deg $2(d(G))^2$ Rink: If an n-ox G has $d(G) \ge Cn^{\frac{4}{2}}$

=) the square contains F While being interesting on its own, in fact we shall see that the Subdivision Conj _ Ratilinal exponent conj 1. even cycles, O-graphs [Bandy - Sim] Knawn : 2. Complete bip graph $\left[\operatorname{Conlon-Lee-Janzer}\right] \operatorname{ex}(n, \operatorname{sub}(K_{s,t})) = \Theta\left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2s}\right)$ Problem. Find more families for which the subd. conj holds . We can extend the concept of powers of rooted trees to bip as fellows. R roots, F bip. • ('F',R') ' es = # edges i F incident to a ux in S - HeS = V(F) , let $f_{F}(S) = \frac{e_{s}}{|S|}$ - Let $P(F) = P_F(V(F) \setminus R)$ Say (F,R) is balanced if $f(S) \ge P(F)$ 4 nonempty SEV(F)/R And define its powers analogously.

Len (Bukh-Carlon) & balanced bip rooted graph F ω / P(F) > 0, $\exists l_0 = l_0(F)$ s.t. $\forall l \ge l_0$ $e_{\kappa}(n, F^{\ell}) = \mathcal{D}(n^{2-\frac{1}{\ell}}(F))$