TREE DECOMPOSITIONS OF GRAPHS WITHOUT LARGE BIPARTITE HOLES

JAEHOON KIM, YOUNJIN KIM AND HONG LIU

Abstract. A recent result of Condon, Kim, Kühn and Osthus implies that for any $r \geq (\frac{1}{2} + o(1))n$, an n-vertex almost r-regular graph G has an approximate decomposition into any collections of n-vertex bounded degree trees. In this paper, we prove that a similar result holds for an almost αn-regular graph G with any $\alpha > 0$ and a collection of bounded degree trees on at most $(1 - o(1))n$ vertices if G does not contain large bipartite holes. This result is sharp in the sense that it is necessary to exclude large bipartite holes and we cannot hope for an approximate decomposition into n-vertex trees.

Moreover, this implies that for any $\alpha > 0$ and an n-vertex almost αn-regular graph G, with high probability, the randomly perturbed graph $G \cup \mathbf{G}(n, O(\frac{1}{n}))$ has an approximate decomposition into all collections of bounded degree trees of size at most $(1 - o(1))n$ simultaneously. This is the first result considering an approximate decomposition problem in the context of Ramsey-Turán theory and the randomly perturbed graph model.

1. Introduction

Finding sufficient conditions for the existence of a subgraph of G isomorphic to a specific graph H is a central theme in extremal graph theory. The earliest results of this type are Mantel's theorem [32] and Turán's theorem [39] stating that an n-vertex graph G contains a complete graph K_r on r vertices whenever G contains at least $(1-\frac{1}{r-1})\binom{n}{2}$ edges. Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem [17, 18] further generalises this into any small graph H.

On the other hand, the nature of problems changes if we consider a 'large' graph H whose number of vertices is comparable (or equal) to that of G. One important cornerstone in this direction is Dirac's theorem [16] which shows that whenever we have $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$, the n-vertex graph G contains a Hamilton cycle. Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi [27] proved that the condition of $\delta(G) \geq (\frac{1}{2} + o(1))n$ ensures the containment of every n-vertex bounded degree tree as a subgraph, and in [28], they extended this result to the trees with maximum degree $o(\frac{n}{\log n})$. Furthermore, Böttcher, Schacht and Taraz [11] found a minimum degree condition guaranteeing the containment of an n-vertex graph H with sublinear bandwidth and bounded maximum degree.

Another important research direction in extremal graph theory concerns with decomposition of graphs. We say that a collection $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, \dots, H_s\}$ of graphs *packs* into G if G contains pairwise edge-disjoint copies of $H_1, \dots, H_s$ as a subgraph. If $\mathcal{H}$ packs into G and $e(\mathcal{H}) = e(G)$ (where $e(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{H\in\mathcal{H}} e(H)$), then we say that the graph G has a *decomposition* into $\mathcal{H}$. If a packing covers almost all edges of the host graph G, then we informally say that G has an *approximate* decomposition. The history of graph decomposition problems dates back to 19th century when Kirkman characterised all n such that K_n decomposes into triangles and when Walecki characterised all n such that K_n decomposes into Hamilton cycles. The latter was extended to Hamilton decompositions of regular graphs G of high degree in a seminal work of Csaba, Kühn, Lo, Osthus and Treglown [14]. Yet another generalisation, the famous Oberwolfach conjecture states that for any n-vertex graph F consisting of vertex-disjoint cycles, K_n has a decomposition into F, except a finitely many values of n. After many partial results, this was finally resolved very recently for all large n by Glock, Joos, Kim, Kühn and Osthus [20].

J.K. was supported by ERC grant 306349; Y.K. was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2017R1A6A3A04005963); and H.L. was supported by the Leverhulme Trust Early Career Fellowship ECF-2016-523.

Further famous open problems in the area are the tree packing conjecture of Gyárfás and Lehel, which says that for any collection $\mathcal{T} = \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ of trees with $|V(T_i)| = i$, the complete graph K_n has a decomposition into $\mathcal{T}$, and Ringel's conjecture which says that for any $(n+1)$-vertex tree T, the complete graph K_{2n+1} has a decomposition into $2n+1$ copies of T. Lots of research has been done regarding these conjectures, [9, 19, 26, 35]. Recently, Joos, Kim, Kühn and Osthus [25] proved both conjectures for trees with bounded degree and larger n. A key ingredient of their proof is a blow-up lemma for approximate decompositions of ε-regular graphs G developed by Kim, Kühn, Osthus and Tyomkyn [26]. Allen, Böttcher, Hladkỳ and Piguet [1] later proved a generalisation of this into graphs with bounded degeneracy and maximum degree $o(\frac{n}{\log n})$ in the context of approximate decomposition. Montgomery, Pokrovskiy and Sudakov [36] found an approximate decomposition of K_{2n+1} into any $(1-o(1))n$-vertex tree T, proving an approximate version of Ringel's conjecture.

In [12], Condon, Kim, Kühn and Osthus determined the degree threshold for an almost regular graph to have an approximate decomposition into a collection $\mathcal{H}$ of separable graphs with bounded degree. In particular, one corollary of their result is that for any collection $\mathcal{T}$ of n-vertex bounded degree trees, any almost-regular n-vertex graph G with degree at least $(\frac{1}{2}+o(1))n$ has an approximate decomposition into $\mathcal{T}$.

Most of the aforementioned results are sharp as there are graphs which do not satisfy the conditions and do not have a desired subgraph or a desired (approximate)-decomposition. For example, regarding the corollary on approximate tree decomposition, a complete balanced bipartite graph $K_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}$ or disjoint union of two complete graphs $2K_{\frac{n}{2}}$ shows that the degrees of G has to be at least $(\frac{1}{2}+o(1))n$ to contain a single copy of an n-vertex tree with unbalanced bipartition, let alone an approximate decomposition. However, such examples have very special structures. Hence it is natural to ask how the degree conditions change if we exclude graphs with such special structures.

Another active line of research is to study these changes on the degree conditions when we exclude a large independent set. Balogh, Molla and Sharifzadeh [3] initiated this by proving that if an n-vertex G does not contain any linear-sized independent set and $\delta(G) \geq (\frac{1}{2}+o(1))n$, then G contains a triangle-factor. This weakens the bound $\delta(G) \geq \frac{2}{3}n$ from the Corrádi-Hajnal theorem [13]. Nenadov and Pehova [37] further generalised this into a K_r-factor.

However, excluding large independent sets is not sufficient to guarantee a large connected subgraph, e.g. $2K_{\frac{n}{2}}$ does not contain an independent set of size three, and clearly it does not contain any tree with more than $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices. This example suggests that it is necessary to exclude large bipartite holes, rather than independent sets. An (s,t)-*bipartite hole* in a graph G consists of two disjoint vertex sets $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| = s, |T| = t$ such that there are no edges between S and T in G. The *bi-independence number* $\widetilde{\alpha}(G)$ of a graph G denotes the largest number r such that G contains an (s,t)-bipartite hole for every pair of non-negative integers s and t with $s+t=r$. Note that $\widetilde{\alpha}(G) \leq r$ implies that there is at least one edge between any two disjoint vertex sets of size r, i.e. $K_{r,r} \not\subseteq \overline{G}$. McDiarmid and Yolov [33] proved the existence of Hamilton cycle on a graph G satisfying $\delta(G) \geq \widetilde{\alpha}(G)$.

Our main theorem states that if G has sublinear bi-independence number and $\mathcal{T}$ consists of bounded degree trees with at most $(1-o(1))n$ vertices, then the degree threshold for an approximate tree-decomposition of Condon, Kim, Kühn and Osthus can be significantly lowered. There is an obvious analogy between this theme and the Ramsey-Turán theory in which one studies Turán type problmes for graphs with sublinear independence number. See e.g. [38] for more of Ramsey-Turán theory. Here we replace a Turán-type conclusion with one along the lines of approximate decomposition of G into large graphs.

Theorem 1.1. *For all $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \alpha, \nu < 1$, there exist $\xi, \eta > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for all $n \geq n_0$. Suppose that G is an n-vertex graph such that $d_G(v) = (\alpha \pm \xi)n$ for all vertices $v \in V(G)$ except at most ξn vertices and $\widetilde{\alpha}(G) \leq \eta n$. Then any collection $\mathcal{T}$ of trees T satisfying the following conditions packs into G.*

(i) $|T| \leq (1-\nu)n$ *and* $\Delta(T) \leq \Delta$ *for all* $T \in \mathcal{T}$,
(ii) $e(\mathcal{T}) \leq (1-\nu)e(G)$.

Note that by considering a collection of paths of length $(1-o(1))n$, it is easy to see that the almost regular degree condition on G is necessary. Theorem 1.1 is sharp in several point of views. First, the condition on $\widetilde{\alpha}(G)$ is necessary as embedding even a single copy of $(1-o(1))n$-vertex tree into $2K_{\frac{n}{2}}$ is impossible. Hence, $\widetilde{\alpha}(G)$ is the correct parameter to consider. Second, the trees in $\mathcal{T}$ having at most $(1-o(1))n$ vertices is also best possible. To see this, we consider a copy of slightly unbalanced complete bipartite graph with parts X_1 of size $\frac{1}{2}(1-\xi)n$ and X_2 of size $\frac{1}{2}(1+\xi)n$. We put a copy of random graphs $\mathbf{G}(\frac{(1-\xi)n}{2}, \frac{\xi}{2}), \mathbf{G}(\frac{(1+\xi)n}{2}, \frac{\xi}{2})$ in X_1 and X_2, respectively. Let G be the resulting graph and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a collection of $\frac{1}{2}(1-\nu)n$ copies of n-vertex paths. Then G satisfies all the conditions in Theorem 1.1 except that the trees are now spanning. Even more, it satisfies a stronger condition that $\widetilde{\alpha}(G) = O(\log n)$ and all vertices in G has degree $(\frac{1}{2} \pm \xi)n$. However, as each path has the unique bipartition which is almost balanced, each path uses at least $\xi n - 2$ edges inside the bigger part X_2. Thus, we need at least $(\xi n - 2)\frac{(1-\nu)n}{2}$ edges inside the bigger part in order to pack $\mathcal{T}$ into G. Since $\mathbf{G}(\frac{(1+\xi)n}{2}, \frac{\xi}{2})$ only contains at most $\frac{\xi n^2}{3}$ edges, $\mathcal{T}$ does not pack into G if $\nu < \frac{1}{3}$.

As the last example contains two vertices u, v with degree difference at least $\frac{\xi n}{2}$, one might speculate that it is plausible to obtain a packing of spanning trees into G if one additionally assume that G is much closer to being regular. However, the following example shows that we still need more conditions. Consider a graph G obtained from $K_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}$ by putting a copy of $\mathbf{G}(\frac{n}{2}, o(\frac{\log n}{n}))$ in each part X_1, X_2, respectively. It is easy to see that $\widetilde{\alpha}(G) = o(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\log n}})$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the collection of $\frac{1}{4}(1-\nu)n$ copies of n-vertex complete ternary tree T of height $O(\log n)$. Csaba, Levitt, Nagy-Győrgy and Szemerédi [15] showed that any embedding of such complete ternary tree T must use at least $\frac{1}{17}\log n$ non-crossing edges inside parts X_i of G. Thus, we need at least $\frac{1}{68}(1-\nu)n\log n$ non-crossing edges to obtain a packing of $\mathcal{T}$ into G. However, G contains at most $o(n\log n)$ non-crossing edges. Hence, this shows that it is necessary that the trees in $\mathcal{T}$ have at most $(1-o(1))n$ vertices. It is not difficult to modify the above example to obtain a regular graph G (rather than just close to being regular) with $\widetilde{\alpha}(G) = o(n)$ which does not admit an approximate decomposition into complete ternary trees.

Our theorem has a corollary in randomly perturbed graph model which combines extremal and probabilistic aspects in one graph model. Bohman, Frieze and Martin [7] introduced the concept of randomly perturbed graph model by proving that given any fixed $\alpha > 0$ there exists a constant C such that for any n-vertex graph G with $\delta(G) \geq \alpha n$, the graph $G \cup \mathbf{G}(n, \frac{C}{n})$ contains a Hamilton cycle with high probability. This sparks numerous research see e.g. [4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 21, 24, 29, 30, 31, 34].

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1. It is easy to see that for large constant C, the random graph $\mathbf{G}(n, \frac{C}{n})$ does not contain a $(C^{-1/3}n, C^{-1/3}n)$-bipartite hole, hence $\widetilde{\alpha}(G \cup \mathbf{G}(n, \frac{C}{n})) \leq 2C^{-1/3}n$.

Corollary 1.2. *For all $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \alpha, \nu < 1$, there exist $\xi_0 > 0$ and $n_0, C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for all $\xi \leq \xi_0$ and $n \geq n_0$. Suppose that G is an n-vertex graph such that $d_G(v) = (\alpha \pm \xi)n$ for all vertices $v \in V(G)$ except at most ξn vertices and $\mathbf{G}(n, \frac{C}{n})$ is a binomial random graph on the vertex set $V(G)$. Then the following holds with high probability. Any collection $\mathcal{T}$ of trees T satisfying the following conditions packs into $G \cup \mathbf{G}(n, \frac{C}{n})$.*

(i) $|T| \leq (1-\nu)n$ and $\Delta(T) \leq \Delta$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$,
(ii) $e(\mathcal{T}) \leq (1-\nu)e(G)$.

Note that the above statement is universal in the sense that with high probability, this holds for every collection $\mathcal{T}$ simultaneously. Corollary 1.2 is sharp in the following senses. By considering a disconnected graph G, it is easy to see that the probability $O(\frac{1}{n})$ is best possible. Also the trees having size $(1-o(1))n$ is best possible. The above first example obtained from slightly unbalanced complete bipartite graph show that we need $\mathbf{G}(n, \Omega(1))$ in order to obtain an approximate decomposition of almost $(\alpha \pm \xi)n$-regular graphs into spanning trees with bounded maximum degree, and the second example with complete ternary trees shows that at least $\mathbf{G}(n, \Omega(\frac{\log n}{n}))$

is required for obtaining an approximate decomposition of regular graphs into spanning trees. Motivated by this second example, we ask the following question.

Question 1.3. *Determine the optimal function f satisfying the following. For given $\alpha, \nu > 0$, if G is an n-vertex $\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$-regular graph and $\widetilde{\alpha}(G) \le o(f(n))$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a collection trees T satisfying the following conditions.*

(i) $|T| \le n$ and $\Delta(T) \le \Delta$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$,
(ii) $e(\mathcal{T}) \le (1-\nu)e(G)$.

Then $\mathcal{T}$ pack into G.

We can consider the same question of finding the optimal function $g(n)$ by replacing the graph G with $G' \cup \mathbf{G}(n, o(g(n)))$ and an arbitrary n-vertex $\lfloor \alpha n \rfloor$-regular graph G'. Since the regularity lemma does not distinguish between an $(\alpha \pm o(1))n$-regular graph and an αn-regular graph, the example we obtained from $K_{(1-\xi)n,(1+\xi)n}$ shows that Question 1.3 may not be proved by the approach in this paper which is based on the regularity lemma.

Our theorem also has further applications on tree packing conjectures, such as Ringel's conjecture in the setting of almost regular graphs. It implies that if $\alpha > 0$ and G is an almost αn-regular $(2n+1)$-vertex graph with $\widetilde{\alpha}(G) = o(n)$, and T is an n-vertex tree with bounded maximum degree, then G has an approximate decomposition into $(1-o(1))\alpha n$ copies of T. Same statement also holds for $G \cup \mathbf{G}(n, \frac{C}{n})$ with any almost αn-regular $(2n+1)$-vertex graph G.

2. Preliminaries

Denote $[t] := \{1, \dots, t\}$. If we claim that a result holds for $1/n \ll a \ll b \ll 1$, this mean that there exist non-decreasing functions $f : (0,1] \to (0,1]$ and $g : (0,1] \to (0,1]$ such that the result holds for all $0 \le a, b \le 1$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a \le f(b)$ and $1/n \le g(a)$. We may omit floors and ceilings when they are not essential. In this paper, *graphs* are simple undirected finite graphs and *multigraphs* are graphs with potentially parallel edges without loops.

Given collection of trees $\mathcal{T}$, denote by $|\mathcal{T}|$ the number of trees in $\mathcal{T}$ and $e(\mathcal{T}) := \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} e(T)$. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ satisfying $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Denote by $E_G(A, B)$ the set of edges in G between A and B. Let $e_G(A, B) := |E_G(A, B)|$. For sets $X, A \subseteq V(G)$, we define $N_{G,A}(X) := \{w \in A : uw \in E(G) \text{ for all } u \in X\}$. In particular, we have $N_{G,A}(\emptyset) = A$, and let $N_G(X) := N_{G,V(G)}(X)$. Let $d_{G,A}(X) = |N_{G,A}(X)|$. We write $d_{G,A}(v_1, \dots, v_i)$ for $d_{G,A}(\{v_1, \dots, v_i\})$. Denoted by $N^d_G(X) \subseteq V(G) \backslash X$ the set of vertices of distance at most d from a vertex in a set $X \subseteq V(G)$. Note that, in this definition, $N_G(X)$ and $N^1_G(X)$ are in general different for $|X| > 1$. For a tree T and a vertex x, let $(A_T(x), B_T(x))$ be the unique vertex partition into two independent sets satisfying $x \in A_T(x)$. Denote by $G \setminus A$ the induced subgraph on $V(G) \setminus A$, and by $G - E$ the spanning subgraph with edge set $E(G) - E$, where $A \subseteq V(G)$ and $E \subseteq E(G)$. For a graph G and two disjoint vertex subsets A and B, the *density* of (A, B) is defined as

$$\mathrm{den}_G(A, B) := \frac{e_G(A, B)}{|A||B|}.$$

For a rooted tree (T, r) with the root r, let $T(u)$ be the subtree of T consisting of all vertices v such that the path between r and v contains u. For a vertex $x \in V(T)$, denoted by $a_T(x)$ the parent of x. Denoted by $D^k_T(x)$ the set of all descendents y of x with distance exactly k in the tree T, and by $D^{\le k}_T(x)$ be the set of descendents y of x with distance at most k. We write $D_T(x) := D^1_T(x)$. For two functions $\phi : A \to B$ and $\phi' : A' \to B'$ with $A \cap A' = \emptyset$, we define $\phi \cup \phi'$ as a function from $A \cup A'$ to $B \cup B'$ such that for each $x \in A \cup A'$,

$$(\phi \cup \phi')(x) := \begin{cases} \phi(x) & \text{if } x \in A \\ \phi'(x) & \text{if } x \in A'. \end{cases}$$

We will use well-known Chernoff's inequality and Azuma's inequality. As our applications are very simple and standard, we will omit the detailed computation. See [2, 22, 23] for the statements of Chernoff's inequality and Azuma's inequality. The concept of (ε, d)-*regularity* and

Szemerédi's regularity lemma will be useful for us. A bipartite graph G with vertex partition (A, B) is (ε, d)-*regular* if for all sets $A' \subseteq A$, $B' \subseteq B$ with $|A'| \geq \varepsilon|A|$, $|B'| \geq \varepsilon|B|$, we have

$$|\mathrm{den}_G(A', B') - d| < \varepsilon.$$

A bipartite graph G is ε-*regular* if G is (ε, d)-regular for some d. Additionally, a bipartite graph G is (ε, d)-*super-regular* if G is (ε, d)-regular with $d_G(a) = (d \pm \varepsilon)|B|$ for $a \in A$ and $d_G(b) = (d \pm \varepsilon)|A|$ for $b \in B$. The following three well-known lemmas will be useful when we modify a given ε-regular partition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $0 < \varepsilon \ll d \leq 1$. Suppose that G is an (ε, d)-regular bipartite graph with vertex partition (A, B). Let $B' \subseteq B$ be a set with $|B'| \geq \varepsilon^{1/3}|B|$. Then A contains at least $(1 - \varepsilon^{1/2})|A|$ vertices u satisfying $d_{G,B'}(u) \geq (d - \varepsilon^{1/2})|B'|$.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let $0 < \varepsilon \ll d \leq 1$. Suppose that G is an (ε, d)-regular bipartite graph with vertex partition (A, B). Then, there exists sets $A' \subseteq A, B' \subseteq B$ with $|A'| \geq (1 - 2\varepsilon)|A|$ and $|B'| \geq (1 - 2\varepsilon)|B|$ such that $G[A', B']$ is an $(3\varepsilon, d)$-super-regular bipartite graph with vertex partition (A', B').*

Proposition 2.3. *Let $0 < \varepsilon \ll d \leq 1$. Suppose that G is an (ε, d)-regular bipartite graph with vertex partition (A, B). Let E be a set of edges with $|E| \leq \varepsilon^{10}|A||B|$. Then $G - E$ is $(\varepsilon^{1/2}, d)$-regular.*

The following two lemmas will be useful for finding some edge/vertex partition of graphs. We omit the proofs as they easily follow from a standard random splitting argument.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $0 < 1/n \ll \varepsilon \ll d, 1/s, 1/t < 1$. Suppose that G is an (ε, d)-regular bipartite graph with vertex partition (A, B) satisfying $|A|, |B| \geq n$. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_s \in [0, 1]$ be values such that $p_1 + \cdots + p_s \leq 1$. Then there exist edge-disjoint spanning subgraphs $G_1, \ldots, G_s$ of G such that $G_i[A, B]$ is $(2\varepsilon, dp_i)$-regular for each $i \in [s]$.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let $0 < 1/n \ll \varepsilon \leq d \leq 1$. Suppose that G is an (ε, d)-super-regular bipartite graph with vertex partition (A, B) satisfying $|A|, |B| \leq n$. Let $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ be numbers such that $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \geq \varepsilon n$, $a_1 + a_2 = |A|$, and $b_1 + b_2 = |B|$. Then there exists a partition A_1, A_2 of A and B_1, B_2 of B such that for any $i, j \in [2]$, we have $|A_i| = a_i, |B_j| = b_j$ and the graph $G[A_i, B_j]$ is $(\varepsilon^{1/2}, d)$-super-regular.*

The following is a version of well-known Szemerédi's regularity lemma.

Lemma 2.6 (Szemerédi's regularity lemma)**.** *Let $M, M', n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < 1/n \ll 1/M \ll 1/M', \varepsilon \leq 1$. Then for any n-vertex graph G, there exists a partition of $V(G)$ into $V_0, V_1, ..., V_r$ and a spanning subgraph $G' \subseteq G$ satisfying the following:*

- *(i)* $M' \leq r \leq M$,
- *(ii)* $|V_0| \leq \varepsilon n$,
- *(iii)* $|V_i| = |V_j|$ *for all* $i, j \in [r]$,
- *(iv)* $d_{G'}(v) > d_G(v) - (d + \varepsilon)n$ *for all* $v \in V(G)$,
- *(v)* $e(G'[V_i]) = 0$ *for all* $i \in [r]$,
- *(vi) For all i, j with $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$, the graph $G'[V_i, V_j]$ is either empty or $(\varepsilon, d_{i,j})$-regular for some $d_{i,j} \in [d, 1]$.*

The following two lemmas will be useful to utilise the assumption on bi-independence number.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $0 < 1/n \ll \eta \ll 1$. Suppose G is an n-vertex graph with $\widetilde{\alpha}(G) \leq \eta n$. If W and W' are two (not necessarily disjoint) subsets of $V(G)$ with size at least $2\eta^{1/3}n$, then all but at most $2\eta n$ vertices w in W satisfies $d_{G,W'}(w) \geq \eta^{-1/2}$.*

Proof. Suppose that the lemma does not hold, then there exists a set $Z \subseteq W$ of exactly $2\eta n$ vertices w satisfying $d_{G,W'}(w) < \eta^{-1/2}$. Then the set $Z' := W' \setminus (Z \cup N^1_G(Z))$ contains at least $\eta^{1/3}n - (\eta^{-1/2} + 1) \cdot 2\eta n \geq 2\eta n$ vertices. Hence $e_G(Z, Z') = 0$, contradicting $\widetilde{\alpha}(G) \leq \eta n$. This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let $0 < 1/n \ll \eta \ll \xi \ll 1$. Suppose that G is an n-vertex graph with $\widetilde{\alpha}(G) \le \eta n$. Then there exists a spanning subgraph H of G with $\widetilde{\alpha}(H) \le 2\eta^{1/3}n$ and $\Delta(H) \le \xi n$.*

Proof. For each edge e of G, we include it in H independently at random with probability $\xi/2$. A standard application of Chernoff's inequality implies that, with probability at least 0.9, we have $d_H(v) \le \xi n$ for all $v \in V(G)$. We consider two disjoint sets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ with $|A| = |B| = \eta^{1/3}n$. By lemma 2.7, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[e_H(A,B)] = \frac{\xi}{2} \cdot e_G(A,B) \ge \frac{\xi}{2} \cdot \eta^{-1/2} \cdot (\eta^{1/3}n - 2\eta n) \ge \eta^{-1/7}n.$$

A standard application of Chernoff's inequality implies that with probability at least $1 - \exp(-\eta^{-1/10}n)$, we have $e_H(A,B) > 0$. By a union bound, with probability at least $1 - 2^{2n} \cdot \exp(-\eta^{-1/10}n) \ge 0.9$, we have that $e_H(A,B) > 0$ for all disjoint sets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ with $|A| = |B| = \eta^{1/3}n$. This implies that $\widetilde{\alpha}(H) \le 2\eta^{1/3}n$. Hence, with probability at least 0.8, H has the desired properties. □

The following proposition from [25] provides a useful partition of a tree.

Proposition 2.9. [25] *Let $n, \Delta \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1\}$ and $n \ge t \ge 1$. Then for any rooted tree (T, r) on n vertices with $\Delta(T) \le \Delta$, there exists a collection $\mathcal{S}$ of pairwise vertex-disjoint rooted subtrees such that the following holds.*

(1) $S \subseteq T(x)$ for every $(S, x) \in \mathcal{S}$.
(2) $t \le |S| \le 2\Delta t$ for every $(S, x) \in \mathcal{S}$.
(3) $\bigcup_{(S,x)\in\mathcal{S}} V(S) = V(T)$.

The following two results are from [26]. The first lemma is a special case of Lemma 7.1 in [26].

Lemma 2.10. [26] *Let $n, \Delta, k, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < 1/n \ll \varepsilon, 1/k \ll 1$. Suppose that $0 < \zeta < 1$ with $s^{2/3} \le \zeta k$. Let G be an $2n$-vertex balanced complete bipartite graph with the vertex partition V_1 and V_2. Suppose that the graph L_j is a subgraph of G with the vertex partition X_1^j and X_2^j such that $\Delta(L_j) \le \Delta$ for each $j \in [s]$. Suppose that we have $\sum_{j=1}^{s} e(L_j) \le (1 - 2\zeta)kn$ and sets $W_i^j \subseteq X_i^j$ satisfies $|W_i^j| \le \varepsilon n$ for all $j \in [s]$ and $i \in [2]$. Then there exists a k-regular spanning subgraph H of G and a function ϕ which packs $\mathcal{L} := \{L_1, \dots, L_s\}$ into H such that $\phi(X_i^j) \subseteq V_i$ and $\phi(W_i^j) \cap \phi(W_i^{j'}) = \emptyset$ for distinct $j, j' \in [s]$ and $i \in [2]$.*

Theorem 2.11 (Blow-up lemma for approximate decompositions [26])**.** *Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < 1/n \ll \varepsilon \ll \alpha, d, d_0, 1/k \le 1$. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ be a number such that $s \le (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\frac{dn}{k}$. Suppose that the following properties hold.*

(A1)$_{2.11}$ *G is a (ε, d)-super-regular graph with the vertex partition V_1 and V_2.*
(A2)$_{2.11}$ *$\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, \dots, H_s\}$, where each H_i is an k-regular bipartite graph with the vertex partition X_1 and X_2.*
(A3)$_{2.11}$ *For all $j \in [s]$ and $i \in [2]$, there is a set $Y_i^j \subseteq X_i$ with $|Y_i^j| \le \varepsilon n$ and for each $y \in Y_i^j$, there is a set $A_y^i \subseteq V_i$ with $|A_y^i| \ge d_0 n$.*
(A4)$_{2.11}$ *Γ is a graph with $V(\Gamma) \subseteq [s] \times V(G)$ and $\Delta(\Gamma) \le (1 - \alpha)d_0 n$ such that for each $(i, x) \in V(\Gamma)$ and $i' \in [s]$, we have $|\{x' \in V(G) : (i', x') \in N_\Gamma(i, x)\}| \le k^2$. Moreover, for each $i \in [s]$ and $j \in [2]$, we have $|\{(i, x) \in V(\Gamma) : x \in X_j\}| \le \varepsilon n$.*

Then there exists a function ϕ packing $\mathcal{H}$ into G such that for all $j, j' \in [s]$ and $i \in [2]$

(B1)$_{2.11}$ *$\phi(V(H_j) \cap X_i) \subseteq V_i$.*
(B2)$_{2.11}$ *$\phi(y) \in A_y^i$ for all $y \in \bigcup_{j\in[s]} Y_i^j$.*
(B3)$_{2.11}$ *For all $(j, x)(j', y) \in E(\Gamma)$, we have $\phi(x) \ne \phi(y)$.*

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove our main theorem assuming the following lemma which will be proved in Section 4. This lemma states that if G admits a certain ε-super-regularity partition

and $\widetilde{\alpha}(G) = o(|V(G)|)$, then we can find an approximate decomposition of G into arbitrary bounded degree $(1-o(1))|V(G)|$-vertex trees. Here, G consists of sets $V_{s,i}$ and $U_{s,i}$ which form an ε-super-regular matching structure. The reduced graph for this ε-regular partition is not connected, but the condition on $\widetilde{\alpha}(G[U])$ provides a connection necessary to embed trees.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $0 < 1/n \ll 1/M \ll 1/r, \varepsilon \ll d \ll \nu, 1/\Delta < 1$. Let G be a graph with a vertex partition $U \cup V$ and let $\{U_{s,i} : (s,i) \in [r] \times [2]\}$ be a partition of U and $\{V_{s,i} : (s,i) \in [r] \times [2]\}$ be a partition of V. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a collection of trees on at most $2(1-\nu)rn$ vertices with maximum degree at most Δ. Assume that the following properties hold.*

- (A1)$_{3.1}$ *For each $s \in [r]$, each of four graphs $G[V_{s,1}, V_{s,2}]$, $G[U_{s,1}, U_{s,2}]$, $G[U_{s,1}, V_{s,2}]$ and $G[V_{s,1}, U_{s,2}]$ are (ε, d)-super-regular with $|V_{s,1}| = |V_{s,2}| = n$ and $|U_{s,1}|, |U_{s,2}| \geq \varepsilon n$.*
- (A2)$_{3.1}$ $\widetilde{\alpha}(G[U]) \leq M^{-6}n$.
- (A3)$_{3.1}$ $e(\mathcal{T}) \leq (1-\nu)rdn^2$.

Then there exists a map ϕ packing $\mathcal{T}$ into G such that $d_{\phi(\mathcal{T})}(u) \leq \Delta M$ for each $u \in U$.

We start the proof of Theorem 1.1. For given ν and α, we choose constants $n_0, \eta, \xi, \varepsilon, t$ such that

$$0 < \frac{1}{n_0} \ll \eta \ll \varepsilon \ll \xi \ll \frac{1}{t} \ll \nu, \alpha < 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $n \geq n_0$. By deleting exactly ξn vertices with degree furthest from αn, we can assume that G is an $(1-\xi)n$-vertex graph such that every vertex $v \in V(G)$ satisfies $d_G(v) = (\alpha \pm 2\xi)n$. By Lemma 2.8, we can find a spanning subgraph H of G with $\widetilde{\alpha}(H) \leq 2\eta^{1/3}n$ and $\Delta(H) \leq \xi n$. By replacing G with $G - E(H)$, assume that G and H are edge-disjoint graphs and

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(H) \leq 2\eta^{1/3}n \text{ and } d_G(v) = (\alpha \pm 3\xi)n \text{ for all } v \in V(G). \tag{3.2}$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is a collection of trees satisfying (i) and (ii). Now we aim to construct (not disjoint) sets $U^1, \dots, U^\kappa, V^1, \dots, V^\kappa$ and edge-disjoint subgraphs $G_1, \dots, G_\kappa$ of G. We will also partition $\mathcal{T}$ into κ subcollections of trees $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_\kappa$, and pack the trees of $\mathcal{T}_i$ into $G_i \cup H[U^i]$.

Step 1. Partitioning G. First, we will partition G into graphs with appropriate structure which are suitable for applications of Lemma 3.1. We apply Szemerédi's regularity lemma (Lemma 2.6) with $(\varepsilon, \frac{1}{t}, \varepsilon^{-1}, \eta^{-1/100})$ playing the role of (ε, d, M', M) to obtain a partition $V_0', \dots, V_r'$ of $V(G)$ and a spanning subgraph $G' \subseteq G$ satisfying the following.

- (R1) $\eta^{1/100} \leq \frac{1}{r} \leq \varepsilon$,
- (R2) $|V_0'| \leq \varepsilon n$,
- (R3) $|V_i'| = |V_j'| = (1 \pm \varepsilon)\frac{n}{r}$ for all $i, j \in [r]$,
- (R4) $d_{G'}(v) > d_G(v) - \frac{2n}{t}$ for all $v \in V(G)$
- (R5) $e(G'[V_i']) = 0$ for all $i \in [r]$,
- (R6) for any $i, j \in [r]$, the graph $G'[V_i', V_j']$ is either empty or $(\varepsilon, d_{i,j})$-regular for some $d_{i,j} = d_{j,i} \in [\frac{1}{t}, 1]$.

Let R be a reduced graph with

$$V(R) = [r] \text{ and } E(R) := \{ij : e_{G'}(V_i', V_j') > 0\}.$$

As $ij \in E(R)$ if and only if $G'[V_i', V_j']$ is $(\varepsilon, d_{i,j})$-regular with $d_{i,j} \geq 1/t$, for each $i \in [r]$, we have

$$\sum_{j \in N_R(i)} d_{i,j} = \sum_{j \in N_R(i)} \left(\frac{e_{G'}(V_i', V_j')}{|V_i'||V_j'|} \pm \varepsilon \right) \overset{\text{(R5)}}{=} \frac{\sum_{v \in V_i'} d_{G', V(G) \setminus V_0}(v)}{|V_i'|^2} \pm \varepsilon r$$

$$\overset{\text{(R2),(R4)}}{=} \frac{1}{|V_i'|^2} \sum_{v \in V_i'} \left(d_G(v) \pm \frac{3n}{t} \right) \pm \varepsilon r \overset{\text{(3.2)}}{=} \frac{(\alpha \pm 3\xi \pm \frac{3}{t})n}{|V_i'|} \pm \varepsilon r \overset{\text{(R3)}}{=} \left(\alpha \pm \frac{5}{t} \right) \tag{3.3}$$

Now we will find edge-disjoint subgraphs of G' each of which admits ε-regular matching structure. For each $ij \in E(R)$, letting $t_{i,j} := \lfloor d_{i,j} \cdot t \rfloor$, we use Lemma 2.4 with $G[V_i', V_j'], t_{i,j}, \frac{1}{d_{i,j}t}$ playing the roles of $G, s, p_1 = \cdots = p_s$, respectively, to obtain edge-disjoint subgraphs $E_{i,j}^1, \dots, E_{i,j}^{t_{i,j}}$

of $G'[V_i', V_j']$. For each $ij \in E(R)$ and $\ell \in [t_{i,j}]$,

$$E_{i,j}^{\ell} \text{ is } \left(2\varepsilon, \frac{1}{t}\right)\text{-regular.} \tag{3.4}$$

We will take an appropriate unions of these graphs $E_{i,j}^{\ell}$ to form ε-regular matching structures. Let R^* be a multi-graph obtained by replacing each edge ij of R with $t_{i,j}$ edges $e_{i,j}^1, \dots, e_{i,j}^{t_{i,j}}$ between the vertices i and j. Let Φ be a map from $E(R^*)$ to $\{E_{i,j}^{\ell} : \ell \in [t_{i,j}], ij \in E(R)\}$ such that $\Phi(e_{i,j}^{\ell}) = E_{i,j}^{\ell}$. For each $i \in [r]$, we have

$$d_{R^*}(i) = \sum_{j \in N_R(i)} t_{i,j} = \sum_{j \in N_R(i)} (d_{i,j}t \pm 1) \overset{(3.3)}{=} \left(\alpha \pm \frac{6}{t}\right) tr. \tag{3.5}$$

Let

$$\kappa := (\alpha - t^{-1/3})tr. \tag{3.6}$$

By applying Vizing's theorem to R^*, we obtain $(\alpha + \frac{6}{t})tr + t$ edge-disjoint (possibly empty) matchings covering all edges of R^*. By (3.5) and the pigeonhole principle, at least κ matchings contain at least $(1 - t^{-1/3})\frac{r}{2}$ edges. Let $M_1, \dots, M_\kappa$ be edge-disjoint matchings of R^* of size at least $(1 - t^{-1/3})\frac{r}{2}$, thus for each $i \in [\kappa]$,

$$(1 - t^{-1/3})\frac{r}{2} \le |E(M_k)| \le \frac{r}{2}. \tag{3.7}$$

For $k \in [\kappa]$, we write $ij \in E(M_k)$ if M_k contains one of $e_{i,j}^1, \dots, e_{i,j}^{t_{i,j}}$. For each $k \in [\kappa]$, we define a graph G_k with

$$V(G_k) := \bigcup_{i \in V(M_k)} V_i' \text{ and } E(G_k) := \bigcup_{e \in E(M_k)} \Phi(e).$$

For each $k \in [\kappa]$ and $ij \in E(M_k)$, apply Proposition 2.2 to obtain sets $W_i^k \subseteq V_i'$ and $W_j^k \subseteq V_j'$ such that both W_i^k and W_j^k have size $(1 \pm 3\varepsilon)\frac{n}{r}$ and $G_k[W_i^k, W_j^k]$ is $(3\varepsilon, \frac{1}{t})$-super-regular. We further apply Proposition 2.5 to $G_k[W_i^k, W_j^k]$ for each $ij \in E(M_k)$ with $a_1 = b_1 = n_\bullet := \frac{(1-\varepsilon^{1/20})n}{r}$ and $a_2 = |W_i^k| - n_\bullet, b_2 = |W_j^k| - n_\bullet$. This yields a partition $V_i^k \cup U_i^k$ of W_i^k and a partition $V_j^k \cup U_j^k$ of W_j^k satisfying the following.

$$\begin{minipage}{0.85\textwidth}\textit{For each } $ij \in E(M_k)$, \textit{the graphs } $G_k[V_i^k, V_j^k], G_k[V_i^k, U_j^k], G_k[U_i^k, V_j^k]$ \textit{and } $G_k[U_i^k, U_j^k]$ \textit{are all } $(\varepsilon^{1/3}, \frac{1}{t})$\textit{-super-regular and } $|V_i^k| = |V_j^k| = n_\bullet$.\end{minipage} \tag{3.8}$$

As M_k is a matching, V_i^k, U_i^k are well-defined for each $i \in V(M_k)$, and we further have

$$|U_i^k| \ge (1 - 3\varepsilon)\frac{n}{r} - n_\bullet \ge \frac{\varepsilon^{1/20} n}{2r}. \tag{3.9}$$

Note that the two sets V_i^k and $V_i^{k'}$ (and similarly U_i^k and $U_i^{k'}$) are in general different for $k \neq k'$. Let $V^k := \bigcup_{i \in V(M_k)} V_i^k$ and $U^k := \bigcup_{i \in V(M_k)} U_i^k$.

Step 2. Applications of Lemma 3.1. We arbitrarily partition $\mathcal{T}$ into κ collections $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_\kappa$ such that for all $k \in [\kappa]$, we have

$$e(\mathcal{T}_k) < \frac{1}{\kappa}(1 - \frac{2\nu}{3})e(G) \le \frac{1}{2rt}(1 - \frac{\nu}{2})n^2. \tag{3.10}$$

We are ready to construct a desired embedding using Lemma 3.1. For each $k \in [\kappa]$, we will pack $\mathcal{T}_k$ into $G_k \cup H[U^k]$. Since $G_1, \dots, G_\kappa$ are edge-disjoint, we only have to be careful about disjointness of edges whose images are in H. Suppose that for some $k \in [\kappa]$, we have constructed a function ϕ_{k-1} packing $\bigcup_{k' \in [k-1]} \mathcal{T}_{k'}$ into $\bigcup_{k' \in [k-1]} \left(G_{k'} \cup H[U^{k'}]\right)$ satisfying the following,

(G1)$_{k-1}$ $\Delta(H_{k-1}) \le \eta^{-1/70}(k-1)$, where $E(H_{k-1}) := \bigcup_{k' \in [k-1]} \phi_{k-1}(\mathcal{T}_{k'}) \cap E(H)$.

Note that H_{k-1} is the graph consisting of all edges of H which are already used for previous packing. Observe that $(\mathrm{G1})_0$ trivially holds with an empty packing ϕ_0. Let $m := |M_k| \geq (1-t^{-1/3})\frac{r}{2}$ and $E(M_k) =: \{j_{s,1}j_{s,2} : s \in [m]\}$. For each $(s,i) \in [m] \times [2]$, for brevity, let

$$V^k_{s,i} := V^k_{j_{s,i}} \text{ and } U^k_{s,i} := U^k_{j_{s,i}}.$$

Let

$$G^*_k := G_k[U^k \cup V^k] \cup \Big(E(H[U^k]) - E(H_{k-1})\Big).$$

We apply Lemma 3.1 with the following objects and parameters to pack trees in $\mathcal{T}_k$ into G^*_k.

object/parameter	G^*_k	$V^k_{s,i}$	$U^k_{s,i}$	m	$n_\bullet$	$\varepsilon^{1/6}$	$\mathcal{T}_k$	Δ	$\frac{1}{t}$	$\frac{\nu}{4}$	$\eta^{-1/80}$
playing the role of	G	$V_{s,i}$	$U_{s,i}$	r	n	ε	$\mathcal{T}$	Δ	d	ν	M

For this application, we need to check the conditions of Lemma 3.1 hold. By (3.1) and (R1), we have the hierarchy of constants required in Lemma 3.1 and for each $(s,i) \in [r] \times [2]$, we have $|V^k_{s,i}| = n_\bullet$ and $|U^k_{s,i}| \geq \varepsilon^{1/10} n_\bullet$ by (3.9). Moreover, each tree T in $\mathcal{T}_k$ contains at most $(1-\nu)n \leq 2(1-\frac{\nu}{3})mn_\bullet$ vertices by (3.7) since $\frac{1}{t} \ll \nu$.

Now we show that $(\mathrm{A1})_{3.1}$-$(\mathrm{A3})_{3.1}$ hold. Using $(\mathrm{G1})_{k-1}$ and the fact that $k \leq \kappa$, we have

$$\Delta(H_{k-1}) \leq \eta^{-1/70} k \overset{(3.6)}{\leq} \eta^{-1/60}. \tag{3.11}$$

Then Proposition 2.3 together with (3.8) and (3.11) implies that $G^*_k[U^k_{s,1}, U^k_{s,2}]$, $G^*_k[V^k_{s,1}, U^k_{s,2}]$ and $G^*_k[U^k_{s,1}, V^k_{s,2}]$ are all $(\varepsilon^{1/6}, \frac{1}{t})$-super-regular. Since H_{k-1} is edge-disjoint from G_k, and U^k is disjoint from V^k, we have $G^*_k[V^k_{s,1}, V^k_{s,2}] = G_k[V^k_{s,1}, V^k_{s,2}]$, thus it is $(\varepsilon^{1/3}, \frac{1}{t})$-super-regular by 3.8. We conclude that $(\mathrm{A1})_{3.1}$ holds. By (3.2), we have $\widetilde{\alpha}(H[U^k]) \leq \widetilde{\alpha}(H) \leq 2\eta^{1/3}n$. Hence Lemma 2.7 implies that for any sets $W, W' \subseteq U^k$ with $|W|, |W'| \geq \eta^{1/10}n$, at least half of vertices w in W satisfies $d_{H[U^k],W'}(w) \geq \eta^{-1/6}$. By (3.11), we have $d_{G^*_k,W'}(w) \geq \eta^{-1/6} - \eta^{-1/60} > 0$. Hence, G^*_k does not contain any $(\eta^{1/10}n, \eta^{1/10}n)$-bipartite holes and $\widetilde{\alpha}(G^*_k) \leq 2\eta^{1/10}n$, $(\mathrm{A2})_{3.1}$ holds. Since (3.10) implies that

$$e(\mathcal{T}_k) \overset{(3.10)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2rt}(1-\frac{\nu}{2})n^2 \leq \frac{1}{2rt}(1-\frac{\nu}{3})(rn_\bullet)^2 \leq \frac{r}{2}(1-\frac{\nu}{3})\frac{1}{t}n_\bullet^2 \overset{(3.7)}{\leq} (1-\frac{\nu}{4})\frac{|M_k|}{t}n_\bullet^2,$$

we conclude that $(\mathrm{A3})_{3.1}$ holds. Hence, Lemma 3.1 gives a map ϕ' packing $\mathcal{T}_k$ into G^*_k satisfying $d_{\phi'(\mathcal{T}_k)}(u) \leq \Delta\eta^{-1/80} \leq \eta^{-1/70}$. Let $\phi_k := \phi_{k-1} \cup \phi'$, then $(\mathrm{G1})_k$ holds. Moreover, by the definition of H_{k-1}, ϕ_k packs all graphs in $\bigcup_{k'=1}^k \mathcal{T}_{k'}$ into $\bigcup_{k'=1}^k \Big(G_{k'} \cup H[U^{k'}]\Big)$. By repeating this process for each $k = 1, \ldots, \kappa$, we obtain a function ϕ_κ which packs all trees in $\mathcal{T}$ into G. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. Proof of Lemma 3.1

We assume that $G[V] = \bigcup_{s\in[r]} G[V_{s,1}, V_{s,2}]$ as we will not use any other edges in V. However, we will use some edges between $U_{s,i}$ and $U_{s',i'}$ with $s \neq s'$ which are guaranteed by $(\mathrm{A2})_{3.1}$. We may assume that $\nu < 1/3$ and $\Delta \geq 2$. By combining two trees of order at most $\frac{2}{3}rn$ with maximum degree at most Δ into a tree with maximum degree at most Δ if necessary, we can assume that all trees in $\mathcal{T}$ has at least $\frac{2}{3}rn$ vertices except possibly one. By adding some edges to at most one tree, we may assume that all trees in $\mathcal{T}$ have at least $\frac{2}{3}rn$ vertices and we have

$$|\mathcal{T}| \leq \frac{(1-\nu)rdn^2 + 2rn/3}{2rn/3} + 1 \leq 3n. \tag{4.1}$$

Step 1. Preparation of trees. First, we want to partition each tree $T \in \mathcal{T}$ into two forests, so that we can later embed each forest into G in different ways. For each $T \in \mathcal{T}$, we choose an arbitrary vertex $r_T \in V(T)$ as a root. After applying Proposition 2.9 with T, r_T, n, Δ and $M^{-1/3}n$ playing the roles of T, r, n, Δ and t, respectively, we obtain a collection $\mathcal{S}_T$ of pairwise vertex-disjoint rooted subtrees such that the followings hold.

(S1) $S \subseteq T(x)$ for every $(S,x) \in \mathcal{S}_T$.
(S2) $M^{-1/3}n \le |S| \le 2\Delta M^{-1/3}n$ for every $(S,x) \in \mathcal{S}_T$. Moreover $\frac{1}{3\Delta}M^{1/3}r \le |\mathcal{S}_T| \le 2rM^{1/3}$.
(S3) $\bigcup_{(S,x)\in\mathcal{S}_T} V(S) = V(T)$.

Now we will partition each $T \in \mathcal{T}$ into a small forest C_T and a large forest F_T and embed C_T into $G[U]$ and F_T into $G[V]$. For all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $i \in [2]$, we let

$$C_T^0 := \{x : (S,x) \in \mathcal{S}_T\},\ \ C_T^i := \bigcup_{x\in C_T^0} D_S^i(x),\ \ C_T := T[C_T^0 \cup C_T^1 \cup C_T^2] \text{ and } F_T := T \setminus V(C_T).$$

Since $V(C_T)$ consists of roots of $(S,x) \in \mathcal{S}_T$ and their children and grandchildren in S, a vertex x in C_T^0 has neighbour y in $V(F_T)$ only when y is a parent of x in T and the vertices in C_T^1 has no neighbours in $V(F_T)$. Now we will partition F_T into forests $F_T^1, \dots, F_T^r$ such that each component of F_T lies entirely in one of $F_T^1, \dots, F_T^r$ and for each $s \in [r]$, each F_T^s has a vertex partition $X_1^{T,s} \cup X_2^{T,s}$ satisfying the following.

(S4) For all $T \in \mathcal{T}$, $s \in [r]$ and $i \in [2]$, we have $|X_i^{T,s}| = \frac{1}{2r}|V(T)| \pm \varepsilon n \le (1 - 3\nu/4)n$.
(S5) For each $(S,x) \in \mathcal{S}_T$, there exists $(s,i) \in [r] \times [2]$ such that $A_S(x) \setminus V(C_T) \subseteq X_i^{T,s}$ and $B_S(x) \setminus V(C_T) \subseteq X_{3-i}^{T,s}$.

To see that such a partition exists, we choose $(s,i) \in [r] \times [2]$ independently and uniformly at random for each $(S,x) \in \mathcal{S}_T$, and add $A_S(x) \setminus V(C_T)$ into $X_i^{T,s}$ and $B_S(x) \setminus V(C_T)$ into $X_{3-i}^{T,s}$. Then a simple application of Azuma's inequality shows that (S4) holds with probability at least 0.9. Additionally, for each $(S,x) \in \mathcal{S}_T$, it is clear that (S5) holds. Thus, there exists a vertex partition satisfying both (S4) and (S5).

Step 2. Packing small forests C_T into $G[U]$. We aim to later embed the vertices in $X_i^{T,s}$ into $V_{s,i}$. For this, we first embed C_T into $G[U]$ accordingly. Let $(S_1,x_1), \dots, (S_p,x_p)$ be an ordering of $\bigcup_{T\in\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{S}_T$ such that for each $T \in \mathcal{T}$, all elements of $\mathcal{S}_T$ appear consecutive in the ordering and (S,x) comes before (S',x') if x is an ancestor of x' and $(S,x),(S',x') \in \mathcal{S}_T$ for a $T \in \mathcal{T}$. For each $q \in [p]$, let

$$C_q := S_q[D_{S_q}^{\le 2}(x_q)] \text{ and } W_q := \bigcup_{j\in[q]} V(C_j).$$

We embed trees C_q into $G[U]$ using the following claim.

Claim 1. *For each $q \in [p] \cup \{0\}$, there exists a function ϕ_q packing $\{C_j : j \in [q]\}$ into $G[U]$ satisfying the following for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $(s,i) \in [r] \times [2]$.*

$(\Phi1)_q$ *For all $y \in X_i^{T,s}$ and $x \in N_T(y) \cap W_q$, we have $\phi_q(x) \in U_{s,3-i}$.*
$(\Phi2)_q$ *For each $y \in X_i^{T,s}$ with $N_T(y) \cap W_q = \{y_1, \dots, y_b\} \neq \emptyset$, we have $d_{G,V_{s,i}}(\phi_q(y_1), \dots, \phi_q(y_b)) \ge (d - \varepsilon^{1/2})^b n$.*
$(\Phi3)_q$ *For each vertex $u \in U$, we have $|\{x \in W_q : \phi_q(x) = u\}| \le M$.*

Proof. We use induction on q. The statement is trivial if $q = 0$. Assume $q \ge 0$ and assume we have ϕ_q satisfying $(\Phi1)_q$–$(\Phi3)_q$. Let $(S,x) := (S_{q+1}, x_{q+1})$. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}$ be the tree containing S and let $t \in [2M^{1/3}r] \cup \{0\}$ be the largest number such that $(S_{q-t+1}, x_{q-t+1}), \dots, (S_{q+1}, x_{q+1})$ all belong to $\mathcal{S}_T$. By (S5), we let $(s,i) \in [r] \times [2]$ be the index such that

$$B_S(x) \setminus V(C_{q+1}) \subseteq X_i^{T,s}. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $y := a_T(x)$, if exists. Note that, by the choice of the ordering $(S_1,x_1), \dots, (S_p,x_p)$, the vertex y (if exists) belongs to one of $S_{q-t+1}, \dots, S_q$, thus either $y \in W_q$ or $V(F_T)$.

If $y \in W_q$, then let $b := 0$. If $y \in V(F_T)$, then we let

$$\{y_1, \dots, y_b\} := N_T(y) \cap W_q.$$

In other words, y_i is either a child of y which is a root of some (S_j, x_j) with $j \in [q]$ or the parent $a_T(y)$ of y if $a_T(y)$ is in $W_q \cap C_T^2$. Note that b could be zero. Let

$$E := \bigcup_{j\in[q]} \phi_q(E(C_j)) \text{ and } G' := G - E.$$

In other words, E is the set of all edges in G which have already been used. Since each tree in $\mathcal{T}$ has maximum degree at most Δ, $(\Phi 3)_q$ implies that every vertex of G is incident to at most ΔM edges of E. Note that (4.1) and (S2) imply that

$$|E| \le \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}} \sum_{(S',x')\in\mathcal{S}_T} |S'| \le 3n \cdot 2rM^{1/3} \cdot 2\Delta^2 = 12M^{1/3}\Delta^2 rn.$$

Let

$$U' := \{u \in U : |\{x \in W_q : \phi_q(x) = u\}| = M\} \text{ and } U'' := \bigcup_{j\in[q]\setminus[q-t]} \phi_j(V(C_j)).$$

So U' is a collection of vertices that are "fully-used" and U'' is a collection of the vertices which is an image of a vertex of the current tree T. In order to obtain $(\Phi 3)_{q+1}$ as well as to eventually make ϕ_{q+1} injective on each forest F_T, we want to avoid embedding any vertices in C_{q+1} into $U' \cup U''$. As every vertex $u \in U'$ is incident to M edges in E, so we have $|U'| \le \frac{|E|}{M}$. As $|C_j| \le 2\Delta^2$, (S2) implies $|U''| \le 4\Delta^2 M^{1/3} r$. Hence,

$$|U' \cup U''| \le \frac{|E|}{M} + 4\Delta^2 M^{1/3} r \le \frac{12M^{1/3}\Delta^2 rn}{M} + 4\Delta^2 M^{1/3} r \le M^{-1/2} n. \tag{4.3}$$

We define $(s^*, i^*) \in [r] \times [2]$ as follows, and we aim to embed x into $U_{s^*,3-i^*}$. This will later ensure $(\Phi 1)_{q+1}$.

$$(s^*, i^*) := \begin{cases} (s', i') & \text{if } y \in V(C_T) \text{ and } \phi_q(y) \in U_{s',i'}, \\ (s', i') & \text{if } y \in X_{i'}^{T,s'}, \\ (s', i') & \text{if } x = r_T \text{ and } D_S^3(x) \subseteq X_{i'}^{s'}. \end{cases}$$

Note that (S5) ensures that (s', i') exists in the third case when $x = r_T$ and y is not defined. Recall that the vertex x has at most one neighbour in $V(F_T)$ (its parent $y = a_T(x)$ if belongs to F_T) since all of children of x are either non-root vertex in S or a root of some other $(S', x') \in \mathcal{S}_T$. We now define $\phi_{q+1}(x)$ depending on where y lies. We consider the following three cases.

Case 1. If $y \in V(C_T)$, then let $\phi_{q+1}(x)$ be an arbitrary vertex u in $N_{G',U_{s^*,3-i^*}}(\phi_q(y)) \setminus (U' \cup U'')$. By $(A1)_{3.1}$, we have

$$|N_{G',U_{s^*,3-i^*}}(y) \setminus (U' \cup U'')| \overset{(4.3)}{\ge} (d-\varepsilon)\cdot\varepsilon n - \Delta M - M^{-1/2} n \ge 1,$$

hence such a vertex u exists. Here, we obtain the penultimate inequality from $(\Phi 3)$.

Case 2. If $x = r_T$ and y does not exists, then let $\phi_{q+1}(x)$ be an arbitrary vertex u in $U_{s^*,3-i^*} \setminus (U' \cup U'')$. Similar argument as Case 1 shows that such a vertex u exists.

Case 3. If $y \in V(F_T)$, then let

$$U_* := U_{s^*,3-i^*} \setminus (U' \cup U'') \quad \text{and} \quad V_* := N_{G,V_{s^*,i^*}}(\phi_q(y_1), \dots, \phi_q(y_b)).$$

Recall that $N_{G,V_{s^*,i^*}}(\emptyset) = V_{s^*,i^*}$. Then we have $|U_*| \ge |U_{s^*,3-i^*}| - M^{-1/2} n \ge |U_{s^*,3-i^*}|/2$. As $y_1, \dots, y_b \in W_q$, the property $(\Phi 2)_q$ implies $|V_*| \ge (d - \varepsilon^{1/2})^b n$. Since $G[U_{s^*,3-i^*}, V_{s^*,i^*}]$ is (ε, d)-regular, Proposition 2.1 implies that at least $|U_*| - \varepsilon^{1/2}|U_{s^*,3-i^*}| \ge |U_{s^*,3-i^*}|/3$ vertices u in U_* satisfy $d_{G,V_*}(u) \ge (d - \varepsilon^{1/2})^{b+1} n$. We define $\phi_{q+1}(x)$ to be one of such vertices, then $(\Phi 2)_{q+1}$ holds for the vertex $y \in X_{i^*}^{T,s^*}$.

Now, we want to map vertices in $D_S(x)$ to U_{s^*,i^*}. Recall the definition of s and i from 4.2. Let

$$W := N_{G',U_{s^*,i^*}}(\phi_{q+1}(x)) \setminus (U' \cup U'') \text{ and } W' := U_{s,3-i} \setminus (U' \cup U'').$$

Note that, in any of three cases, $(A1)_{3.1}$ implies $d_{G,V_{s^*,i^*}}(\phi_{q+1}(x)) \geq (d-\varepsilon^{1/2})n$. Thus $(\Phi 3)_q$ implies that

$$|W| \geq d_{G,U_{s^*,i^*}}(\phi_{q+1}(x)) - \Delta M \geq (d-2\varepsilon^{1/2})|U_{s^*,i^*}| \geq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon dn,$$

and we have $|W'| \geq \varepsilon n - M^{-1/2}n \geq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon n$. Lemma 2.7 with $(A2)_{3.1}$ implies that at least $\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon dn$ vertices w in W satisfy $d_{G,W'}(w) \geq M^2$. We extend ϕ_{q+1} in such a way that ϕ_{q+1} maps the vertices in $D_S(x)$ into distinct vertices in W each having at least M^2 neighbours in W' in the graph G. Since ϕ_q satisfies $(\Phi 3)_q$ and $G' = G - E$, for each $z \in D_S(x)$, we have

$$d_{G',W'}(z) \geq d_{G,W'}(z) - \Delta M \geq M^2 - \Delta M \geq M.$$

For each $z \in D_S(x)$, we define ϕ_{q+1} on $D_S(z)$ in such a ways that ϕ_{q+1} maps the vertices in $D_S(z)$ into different vertices in $N_{G',W'}(z)$ and ϕ_{q+1} is still injective on vertices in S. This is possible as $|C_{q+1}| \leq \Delta^2 < M \leq d_{G',W'}(z)$. By our construction, ϕ_{q+1} embeds C_{q+1} into $G[U]-E$, thus ϕ_{q+1} packs $C_1, \dots, C_{q+1}$ into $G[U]$.

Now we check that ϕ_{q+1} satisfies $(\Phi 1)_{q+1}$–$(\Phi 3)_{q+1}$. Note that any vertex in $V(F_T) \cap N_T^1(C_{q+1})$ is either y or vertices in $D_S^3(x)$. If $y \in V(F_T)$, our choice of ϕ_{q+1} and the definition of (s^*, i^*) ensure that $(\Phi 1)_{q+1}$ holds for the vertex y. As $D_S^3(x) \subseteq B_S(x) \setminus D_S^{\leq 2} \subseteq X_i^{T,s}$ and $\phi_{q+1}(D_S^2(x)) \subseteq W' \subseteq U_{s,3-i}$, $(\Phi 1)_{q+1}$ holds for the vertices in $D_S^3(x)$. For all vertices outside $N_T^1(C_{q+1})$, $(\Phi 1)_q$ implies $(\Phi 1)_{q+1}$. Hence $(\Phi 1)_{q+1}$ holds. Note that the definition of $\phi_{q+1}(x)$ in Case 3 ensures that $(\Phi 2)_{q+1}$ holds for y, if $y \in V(F_T)$. For vertices in $D_S^3(x)$, by the definition of W' and $(A1)_{3.1}$, $(\Phi 2)_{q+1}$ holds for the vertices in $D_S^3(x)$ with $b = 1$. Again, for all vertices outside $N_T^1(C_{q+1})$, $(\Phi 2)_q$ implies $(\Phi 2)_{q+1}$, hence $(\Phi 2)_{q+1}$ holds. Since we have not mapped any vertices into U' and every vertex in $D_S^{\leq 2}(x)$ is injectively mapped, $(\Phi 3)_{q+1}$ holds. This finishes the induction and the proof of the claim. □

Step 3. Packing forests $F_T^s \subseteq F_T$ into $G[V_{s,1}, V_{s,2}]$. Let $\phi := \phi_p$ given by the above claim. From now on, $(\Phi 1)$–$(\Phi 3)$ denote $(\Phi 1)_p$–$(\Phi 3)_p$. We wish to pack each forest F_T into $G[V]$ by using Theorem 2.11 in such a way that the vertices in $X_i^{T,s}$ embed into $V_{s,i}$, then all edges of F_T lie in $\bigcup_{s\in[r]} G[V_{s,1}, V_{s,2}]$. To use Theorem 2.11, we first pack trees into internally regular bipartite graphs. Since $F_T^1, \dots, F_T^r$ are vertex-disjoint subforests of tree T, the property $(\Phi 1)$ ensures that we can consider F_T^s for each $s \in [r]$ separately to pack into $G[V_{s,1}, V_{s,2}]$.

Moreover, we want the obtained packing of F_T to be consistent with ϕ, so the neighbours of already embedded vertex x of T are also embedded to a neighbour of $\phi(x)$ in G. We will define sets W_i^F and $Y_i^{s'}$ for this purpose, and we will use $(\Phi 2)$ together with $(A3)_{2.11}$ to obtain this consistency.

We choose a new integer q and a constant ζ satisfying $\varepsilon \ll 1/q \ll \zeta \ll d, \nu$. We fix a number $s \in [r]$ throughout Step 3, and let

$$\mathcal{F}^s := \{F_T^s : T \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

Because a forest contains less edges than vertices, (S4) and $(A3)_{3.1}$ imply that

$$e(\mathcal{F}^s) \leq \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}} \left(\frac{1}{r}|V(T)| + 2\varepsilon n\right) \leq (1 - \frac{2\nu}{3})dn^2. \tag{4.4}$$

For all $F = F_T^s \in \mathcal{F}^s$ and $i \in [2]$, let $X_i^F := X_i^{T,s}$, then $X_1^F \cup X_2^F$ is a vertex partition of F into two independent sets. By (S4), for all $F \in \mathcal{F}^s$ and $i \in [r]$, we have $|X_i^F| \leq (1 - 3\nu/4)n$. Let

$$w := \frac{e(\mathcal{F}^s)}{(1-4\zeta)qn} \overset{(4.4)}{\leq} \frac{(1-2\nu/3)dn^2}{(1-4\zeta)qn} \leq \frac{d}{q}(1-\frac{\nu}{2})n. \tag{4.5}$$

We partition $\mathcal{F}^s$ into collections $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_w$ so that we have the following for each $s' \in [w]$.

$$e(\mathcal{F}_{s'}) = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}_{s'}} e(F) = \frac{e(F^s)}{w} \pm 4n \leq (1-3\zeta)qn. \tag{4.6}$$

Note that this is possible because $e(F) \le 2n$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}^s$. Since each $T \in \mathcal{T}$ has at least $\frac{2}{3}rn$ vertices, (S4) implies that for each $s' \in [w]$

$$|\mathcal{F}_{s'}| \le \frac{e(\mathcal{F}_{s'})}{\frac{1}{2r}\cdot(2rn/3)-\varepsilon n} \le \frac{qn}{n/4} = 4q \le (q\zeta)^{3/2}. \tag{4.7}$$

For each $i \in [2]$ and $F = F_T^s \in \mathcal{F}$, we define

$$W_i^F := N_T^1(V(C_T)) \cap X_i^F.$$

In other words, W_i^F is the collection of the vertices which have neighbours already embedded by ϕ, so we need a special care when we embed the vertices in W_i^F to make sure we embed edges of T incident to the vertices in W_i^F into edges of G. For all $i \in [2]$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}^s$, we have

$$|W_i^F| \le \Delta|V(C_T)| \le 2\Delta^3|\mathcal{S}_T| \overset{\text{(S2)}}{\le} M. \tag{4.8}$$

Note that we have $M \le \varepsilon n$. Thus, by (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), for each $s' \in [w]$, we can apply Lemma 2.10 with $\mathcal{F}_{s'}, X_i^F, W_i^F, q, \zeta, \varepsilon$ and $|\mathcal{F}_{s'}|$ playing the roles of $\mathcal{L}, X_i^j, W_i^j, k, \zeta, \varepsilon$ and s, respectively. Then for each $s' \in [w]$, we obtain a function $\Phi_{s'}$ packing forests in $\mathcal{F}_{s'}$ into a $2n$-vertex q-regular graph $H_{s'}$ with a balanced bipartition $X_1 \cup X_2$. Moreover, for all $i \in [2]$ and $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}_{s'}$,

$$\Phi_{s'}(W_i^F) \cap \Phi_{s'}(W_i^{F'}) = \emptyset. \tag{4.9}$$

For all $i \in [2]$ and $s' \in [w]$, we let

$$Y_i^{s'} := \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{s'}} \Phi_{s'}(W_i^F) \text{ and } Y^{s'} := \bigcup_{i \in [2]} Y_i^{s'}.$$

By (4.7) and (4.8), for each $s' \in [w]$ and $i \in [2]$, we have

$$|Y_i^{s'}| \le 4q \cdot M \le \varepsilon n. \tag{4.10}$$

We now wish to use Theorem 2.11 to pack $\mathcal{H}^s := \{H_1, \dots, H_w\}$ into $G[V_{s,1}, V_{s,2}]$. This packing combined with $\Phi_{s'}$ would give us a packing of F_T into G. Moreover, we want the edges of T between $V(C_T)$ and $V(F_T)$ to be edge-disjointly mapped into $E(G)$. Note that the vertices in $Y_i^{s'} \subseteq X_i$ are the images of vertices that is incident to such edges between $V(C_T)$ and $V(F_T)$. For each $y \in Y_i^{s'}$, let x_y be the preimage of y, i.e. $\Phi_{s'}(x_y) = y$, and let $T_y \in \mathcal{T}$ be the tree containing x_y. Let $N_y := N_{T_y}(x_y) \cap V(C_{T_y})$ and

$$A_y^{s'} := N_{G,V_{s,i}}(\phi(N_y)).$$

Since a vertex y is an image of x_y, mapping y to a vertex v means that x_y will be embedded into v in our final packing. Since N_y is the set of already embedded neighbours of x_y, the vertex $v \in V_{s,i}$ must be a the common neighbour (in G) of the vertices in $\phi(N_y)$. Therefore, $A_y^{s'}$ is the set of vertices which we can embed y into. By (Φ2), we have

$$|A_y^{s'}| \ge (d - \varepsilon^{1/2})^{\Delta} n. \tag{4.11}$$

In addition, there is one more issue to consider. If there are two vertices $x \in C_T$ and $x' \in C_{T'}$ from different trees $T \ne T'$ satisfies $\phi(x) = \phi(x')$ and we have two vertices $y \in N_T(x) \cap F_T$ and $y' \in N_{T'}(x') \cap F_{T'}$, we cannot embed y and y' into the same vertex. Note that, by (4.9), we do not need to worry about conflicts between two vertices from different trees in the same collection $\mathcal{F}_{s'}$. To deal with this overlapping issue for trees from different collections, we consider the following auxiliary graph Γ with $V(\Gamma) := \{(s', y) : s' \in [w] \text{ and } y \in Y^{s'}\}$ and

$$E(\Gamma) := \{(s', y)(s'', y') : s' \ne s'' \in [w], y \in Y^{s'}, y' \in Y^{s''} \text{ and } \phi(N_y) \cap \phi(N_{y'}) \ne \emptyset\}.$$

For a fixed pair $(s',y)\in V(\Gamma)$ and fixed $s''\in[w]\setminus\{s'\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\{y'\in Y^{s''}:(s'',y')\in N_\Gamma((s',y))\}| &\le |\{y': F^s_{T_{y'}}\in\mathcal{F}_{s''},\phi(N_y)\cap\phi(N_{y'})\neq\emptyset\}|\\ &\le \sum_{u\in\phi(N_y)}|\{y': F^s_{T_{y'}}\in\mathcal{F}_{s''},u\in\phi(N_{y'})\}| \le \sum_{u\in\phi(N_y)}\sum_{F^s_T\in\mathcal{F}_{s''}}|\{x\in V(F^s_T):u\in\phi(N_T(x)\cap C_T)\}|\\ &\le \sum_{u\in\phi(N_y)}\sum_{F^s_T\in\mathcal{F}_{s''}}|\{x\in N_T(x'):u=\phi(x')\}| \le \sum_{v\in\phi(N_y)}\sum_{F^s_T\in\mathcal{F}_{s''}}\Delta \le \Delta^2|\mathcal{F}_{s''}| \overset{(4.7)}{\le} \Delta^2(\zeta q)^{3/2}\le q^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Moreover, for any $(s',y)\in V(\Gamma)$, we have

$$d_\Gamma((s',y)) \le \sum_{u\in\phi(N_y)}|\phi^{-1}(u)| \overset{(\Phi3)}{\le} \Delta M\le \varepsilon n. \tag{4.13}$$

Now we apply Theorem 2.11 with the following objects and parameters.

object/parameter	$G[V_{s,1},V_{s,2}]$	$V_{s,i}$	$H_{s'}$	w	q	ε	n	d	$(d-\varepsilon^{1/2})^\Delta$	$\frac{\nu}{10}$	Γ	$Y_i^{s'}$	$A_y^{s'}$
playing the role of	G	V_i	H_j	s	k	ε	n	d	d_0	α	Γ	Y_i^j	A_y^j

Indeed, $(A1)_{3.1}$ implies that $(A1)_{2.11}$, and $(A2)_{2.11}$ holds by the definition of H_s. Properties (4.10) and (4.11) imply that $(A3)_{2.11}$ holds, and the properties (4.10), (4.12) and (4.13) imply that $(A4)_{2.11}$ holds with the above parameters. Thus by Theorem 2.11 we obtain a function ϕ^*_s which packs $\{H_1,\dots,H_w\}$ into $G[V_{s,1},V_{s,2}]$ satisfying the following for each $s'\in[w]$ and $i\in[r]$.

(Φ^*1) $\phi^*_s(y)\in A_y^{s'}$ for all $y\in Y_1^{s'}\cup Y_2^{s'}$,

(Φ^*2) For all $(s',y)(s'',y')\in E(F)$, we have $\phi^*_s(y)\neq\phi^*_s(y')$.

So $\phi^s:=\phi^*_s(\bigcup_{s'\in[w]}\Phi_{s'})$ packs $\mathcal{F}^s$ into $G[V_{s,1},V_{s,2}]$.

Step 4. Combining the functions. By repeating Step 3 for all $s\in[r]$, we obtain functions $\phi^1,\dots,\phi^r$ packing all forests in $\mathcal{F}^1,\dots,\mathcal{F}^r$. Let $\phi':=\phi\cup\bigcup_{i\in[r]}\phi^i$. Then ϕ' packs every forest in $\mathcal{F}^s$ into $G[V_{s,1},V_{s,2}]$, thus into $G[V]$. Since ϕ' is also an extension of ϕ, for all $T\in\mathcal{T}$, ϕ' packs C_T into $G[U]$. Moreover, (Φ^*1), (Φ^*2) and the definitions of $A_y^{s'}$ and Γ imply that ϕ' packs edges in $\{e\in E(T[V(C_T),V(F_T)]):T\in\mathcal{T}\}$ into distinct edges in $\bigcup_{(s,i)\in[r]\times[2]}G[U_{s,i},V_{s,3-i}]$. Thus we conclude that ϕ' packs $\mathcal{T}$ into G. Moreover, ($\Phi3$) implies that for each $u\in U$, we have $d_{\phi(\mathcal{T})}(u)\le\Delta\cdot M$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

References

1. P. Allen, J. Böttcher, J. Hladkỳ, and D. Piguet, *Packing degenerate graphs*, arXiv:1711.04869 (2017).
2. K. Azuma, *Weighted sums of certain dependent random variables*, Tohoku Math. J. **19** (1967), 357–367.
3. J. Balogh, T. Molla, and M. Sharifzadeh, *Triangle factors of graphs without large independent sets and of weighted graphs, with an appendix by c. reiher and m. schacht*, Random Structures and Algorithms **49** (2016), 669–693.
4. J. Balogh, A. Treglown, and A. Z. Wagner, *Tilings in randomly perturbed dense graphs*, Comb., Probab. Comput. (to appear).
5. W. Bedenknecht, J. Han, Y. Kohayakawa, and G. O. Mota, *Powers of tight hamilton cycles in randomly perturbed hypergraphs*, arXiv:1802.08900 (2018).
6. T. Bohman, A. Frieze, M. Krivelevich, and R. Martin, *Adding random edges to dense graphs*, Random Structures Algorithms **24** (2004), 105–117.
7. T. Bohman, A. Frieze, and R. Martin, *How many random edges make a dense graph hamiltonian?*, Random Structures Algorithms **22** (2003), 33–42.
8. J. Böttcher, J. Han, Y. Kohayakawa, R. Montgomery, O. Parczyk, and Y. Person, *Universality for bounded degree spanning trees in randomly perturbed graphs*, arXiv:1802.04707 (2018).
9. J. Böttcher, J. Hladký, D. Piguet, and A. Taraz, *An approximate version of the tree packing conjecture*, Israel J. Math. **211** (2016), 391–446.
10. J. Böttcher, R. Montgomery, O. Parczyk, and Y. Person, *Embedding spanning bounded degree graphs in randomly perturbed graphs*, arXiv:1802.04603 (2018).
11. J. Böttcher, M. Schacht, and A. Taraz, *Proof of the bandwidth conjecture of Bollobás and Komlós*, Math. Ann. **343** (2009), 175–205.

12. P. Condon, J. Kim, D. Kühn, and D. Osthus, *A bandwidth theorem for approximate decompositions*, arXiv:1712.04562 (2017).
13. K. Corrádi and A. Hajnal, *On the maximal number of independent circuits in a graph*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar, **14** (1963), 423–439.
14. B. Csaba, D. Kühn, D. Osthus, A. Lo, and A. Treglown, *Proof of the* 1*-factorization conjecture and hamilton decomposition conjectures*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **244** (2016), 170 pages.
15. B. Csaba, I. Levitt, J. Nagy-György, and E. Szemeredi, *Tight bounds for embedding bounded degree trees*, Fete of combinatorics and computer science, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., vol. 20, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2010, pp. 95–137.
16. G. A. Dirac, *Some theorems on abstract graphs*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **2** (1952), 69–81.
17. P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, *A limit theorem in graph theory*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **1** (1966), 51–57.
18. P. Erdős and A. H. Stone, *On the structure of linear graphs*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1946), 1087–1091.
19. A. Ferber, C. Lee, and F. Mousset, *Packing spanning graphs from separable families*, to appear in Israel J. Math.
20. S. Glock, F. Joos, J. Kim, D. Kühn, and D. Osthus, *Resolution of the oberwolfach problem*, arXiv:1806.04644 (2018).
21. J. Han and Y. Zhao, *Hamiltonicity in randomly perturbed hypergraphs*, arXiv:1802.04586 (2018).
22. W. Hoeffding, *Probability inequalities for sums of bounded random variables*, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. **58** (1963), 13–30.
23. S. Janson, T. Łuczak, and A. Ruciński, *Random graphs*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000.
24. F. Joos and J. Kim, *Spanning trees in randomly perturbed graphs*, arXiv:1803.04958 (2018).
25. F. Joos, J. Kim, D. Kühn, and D. Osthus, *Optimal packings of bounded degree trees*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (to appear).
26. J. Kim, D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and M. Tyomkyn, *A blow-up lemma for approximate decompositions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
27. J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi, *Proof of a packing conjecture of bollobas.*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **4** (1995), 241–255.
28. ———, *Spanning trees in dense graphs*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **10** (2001), 397–416.
29. M. Krivelevich, M. Kwan, and B. Sudakov, *Cycles and matchings in randomly perturbed digraphs and hypergraphs*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **25** (2016), no. 6, 909–927.
30. ———, *Bounded-degree spanning trees in randomly perturbed graphs*, SIAM J. of Discrete Math **31** (2017), 155–171.
31. M. Krivelevich, B. Sudakov, and P. Tetali, *On smoothed analysis in dense graphs and formulas*, Random Structures Algorithms **29** (2006), 180–193.
32. W. Mantel, *Problem 28*, Winkundige Opgaven **10** (1907), 60–61.
33. C. McDiarmid and N. Yolov, *Hamilton cycles, minimum degree, and bipartite holes*, J. Graph Theory **86** (2017), 277–285.
34. A. McDowell and R. Mycroft, *Hamilton ℓ-cycles in randomly-perturbed hypergraphs*, arXiv:1802.04242 (2018).
35. S. Messuti, V. Rödl, and M. Schacht, *Packing minor-closed families of graphs into complete graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **119** (2016), 245–265.
36. R. Montgomery, A. Pokrovskiy, and B. Sudakov, *Embedding rainbow trees with applications to graph labelling and decomposition*, arXiv:1803.03316 (2018).
37. R. Nenadov and Y. Pehova, *On a ramsey-turán variant of the hajnal-szemerédi theorem*, arXiv:1806.03530 (2018).
38. M. Simonovits and V. T. Sós, *Ramsey-turán theory*, Disc. Math. **229** (2001), 293–340.
39. P. Turán, *On an extremal problem in graph theory (in hungarian)*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok **48** (1941), 436–452.

Jaehoon Kim	Younjin Kim	Hong Liu
School of Mathematics	Institute of Mathematical Sciences	Mathematics Institute
University of Birmingham	Ewha Womans University	University of Warwick
Birmingham	Seoul	Coventry
UK	South Korea	UK

E-mail addresses: j.kim.3@bham.ac.uk, younjinkim@ewha.ac.kr, h.liu.9@warwick.ac.uk.