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#### Abstract

Mader conjectured that every $C_{4}$-free graph has a subdivision of a clique of order linear in its average degree. We show that every $C_{6}$-free graph has such a subdivision of a large clique.

We also prove the dense case of Mader's conjecture in a stronger sense, i.e., for every $c$, there is a $c^{\prime}$ such that every $C_{4}$-free graph with average degree $c n^{1 / 2}$ has a subdivision of a clique $K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\left\lfloor c^{\prime} n^{1 / 2}\right\rfloor$ where every edge is subdivided exactly 3 times.


## 1 Introduction

A subdivision of a clique $K_{\ell}$, denoted by $T K_{\ell}$, is a graph obtained from $K_{\ell}$ by subdividing each of its edges into internally vertex-disjoint paths. Bollobás and Thomason [3], and independently Komlós and Szemerédi [14] proved the following celebrated result.

Theorem 1.1. Every graph of average degree $d$ contains a subdivision of a clique of order $\Omega(\sqrt{d})$.

Theorem 1.1 is best possible: the disjoint union of $K_{d, d}$ 's contains no subdivision of $K_{\ell}$ with $\ell \geq \sqrt{8 d}$ (observed first by Jung [7]).

Mader [15] conjectured that if a graph is $C_{4}$-free, then one can find a subdivision of a much larger clique, of order linear in its average degree. Two major steps towards this conjecture were made by Kühn and Osthus: in [8], they showed that if the graph $G$ has girth at least 15 and large average degree, then the conjecture is true in a stronger sense: a

[^0]subdivision of $K_{\delta(G)+1}$ is guaranteed; in [9], they showed that one can find a subdivision of a clique of order almost linear, $\Omega\left(d / \log ^{12} d\right)$, in any $C_{4}$-free graph with average degree $d$.

Extending ideas in [13] and [14], we prove that every $C_{6}$-free graph has such a subdivision of a large clique.

Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be a $C_{6}$-free graph with average degree $d$. Then a $T K_{\ell}$ is a subgraph of $G$ with $\ell=\lfloor c d\rfloor$ for some small positive constant $c$ independent of $d$.

Similar proof gives the following result, whose proof is omitted.
Theorem 1.3. Let $G$ be a $C_{2 k}$-free graph with $k \geq 3$ and average degree d. Then a $T K_{\ell}$ is a subgraph of $G$ with $\ell=\lfloor c d\rfloor$ for some small positive constant $c$ independent of $d$.

It is known that any $C_{4}$-free $n$-vertex graph has at most $O\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)$ edges (see [12]). Our next result verifies the dense case of Mader's conjecture in a stronger sense.

Theorem 1.4. For every $c>0$ there is a $c^{\prime}>0$ such that the following holds. Let $G$ be $a$ $C_{4}$-free n-vertex graph with cn ${ }^{3 / 2}$ edges. Then $G$ contains a $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\left\lfloor c^{\prime} n^{1 / 2}\right\rfloor$, in which every edge of the $K_{\ell}$ is subdivided exactly 3 times.

Theorem 1.4 can also be viewed as an extension of the following result of Alon, Krivelevich and Sudakov [1 for $C_{4}$-free graphs. Settling a question of Erdős [4, they showed, using the dependent random choice lemma, that if the average degree of a graph is of order $\Omega(n)$, then there is a $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\Omega\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$, in which every edge of the $K_{\ell}$ is subdivided exactly once.
Notation: For a vertex $v$, denote by $S(v, i)$ the $i$-th sphere around $v$, i.e., the set of vertices of distance $i$ from $v$ and denote by $B(v, r)$ the ball of vertices of radius $r$ around $v$, so $B(v, r)=\cup_{i \leq r} S(v, i)$. For a set $X \subseteq V(G)$, denote by $\Gamma(X)$ the external neighborhood of $X$, that is $\Gamma(X):=N(X) \backslash X$. Denote by $d(G)$ the average degree of $G$ and for $S \subseteq V(G)$ denote by $d(S)$ the average degree of the induced subgraph $G[S]$. For a set of vertices $S$, denote by $N_{i}(S)$ the $i$-th common neighborhood of $S$, i.e., vertices of distance exactly $i$ from every vertex in $S$. For a set $B \subseteq V(G)$, let $\Delta(B):=\max _{v \in B} d_{G}(v)$ and $\delta(B):=\min _{v \in B} d_{G}(v)$.

We will omit floors and ceilings signs when they are not crucial.

## 2 Preliminaries

For any graph $G$, there is a bipartite subgraph $G^{\prime}$ such that $e\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq e(G) / 2$. We shall use a result of Györi [6] which states that every bipartite $C_{6}$-free graph has a $C_{4}$-free subgraph with at least half of its edges. So having a loss of factor of 4 in the average degree, we may assume that our $C_{6}$-free graph is bipartite and also $C_{4}$-free. Following Komlós and Szemerédi [13], we introduce the following concept.
$\left(\varepsilon_{1}, t\right)$-expander: For $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ and $t>0$, let $\varepsilon(x)$ be the function as follows:

$$
\varepsilon(x)=\varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}, t\right):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x<t / 5  \tag{1}\\ \varepsilon_{1} / \log ^{2}(15 x / t) & \text { if } x \geq t / 5\end{cases}
$$

For the sake of brevity, on $\varepsilon(x)$ we do not write the dependency of $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $t$ when it is clear from the context. Note that $\varepsilon(x) \cdot x$ is increasing for $x \geq t / 2$. A graph $G$ is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, t\right)$-expander if $|\Gamma(X)| \geq \varepsilon(|X|) \cdot|X|$ for all subsets $X \subseteq V$ of size $t / 2 \leq|X| \leq|V| / 2$.

Komlós and Szemerédi [13, 14] showed that every graph $G$ contains an $(\varepsilon, t)$-expander that is almost as dense as $G$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $t>0$, and choose $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ sufficiently small (independent of $t$ ) so that $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(x)$ defined in (1) satisfies $\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon(x)}{x} d x<\frac{1}{8}$. Then every graph $G$ has a subgraph $H$ with $d(H) \geq d(G) / 2$ and $\delta(H) \geq d(H) / 2$, which is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, t\right)$-expander.

Remark: The subgraph $H$ might be much smaller than $G$. For example if $G$ is a vertexdisjoint collection of $K_{d+1}$ 's, then $H$ will be just one of the $K_{d+1}$ 's.

We will use the following version of Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 2.2. There exists $\varepsilon_{0}$ with $0<\varepsilon_{0}<1$ such that for every $0<\varepsilon_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{2}>0$ and every graph $G$, there is a subgraph $H \subseteq G$ with $d(H) \geq d(G) / 2$ and $\delta(H) \geq d(H) / 2$ which is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} d(H)^{2}\right)$-expander.

Proof. Let $G^{\prime} \subseteq G$ be a subgraph maximizing $d\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and define $t^{\prime}:=\varepsilon_{2} d\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 4$. If $\varepsilon_{0}$ is sufficiently small, then for any $\varepsilon_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, applying Theorem 2.1 yields a $\left(4 \varepsilon_{1}, t^{\prime}\right)$-expander $H \subseteq G^{\prime}$ with $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) / 2 \leq d(H) \leq d\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $\delta(H) \geq d(H) / 2$. Define $t:=\varepsilon_{2} d(H)^{2}$. Since $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) / 2 \leq d(H) \leq d\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, we have $t^{\prime} \leq t \leq 4 t^{\prime}$. A simple calculation shows that for every $x \geq t / 2$,

$$
\frac{4 \varepsilon_{1}}{\log ^{2}\left(15 x / t^{\prime}\right)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\log ^{2}(15 x / t)}
$$

Hence $H$ is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, t\right)$-expander as desired.
Every $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, t\right)$-expander graph has the following robust "small diameter" property (see Corollary 2.3 in [14]):

Corollary 2.3. If $G$ is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, t\right)$-expander, then any two vertex sets, each of size at least $x \geq t$, are of distance at most

$$
\operatorname{diam}:=\operatorname{diam}\left(n, \varepsilon_{1}, t\right)=\frac{2}{\varepsilon_{1}} \log ^{3}(15 n / t)
$$

and this remains true even after deleting $x \varepsilon(x) / 4$ arbitrary vertices from $G$.
By Corollary 2.2, we may assume, when proving Theorem 1.2 , that $G$ is a bipartite, $\left\{C_{4}, C_{6}\right\}$-free, $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, t\right)$-expander graph with average degree $d, \delta(G) \geq d / 2$ and $t=\varepsilon_{2} d^{2}$ for some $\varepsilon_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}>0$. Indeed, instead of $G$ we might work in a still dense subgraph $H$ of it, having the properties listed before and by resetting $d:=d(H) \geq d(G) / 2$ it suffices to find in $H$ a $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\Omega(d(H))$. The next lemma finds in $G$ a "nice" subgraph with "bounded" maximum degree.

Lemma 2.4. Let $0<\varepsilon_{1}<1$ and $\varepsilon_{2}>0$. Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex bipartite, $C_{4}$-free, $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} d^{2}\right)$ expander graph with average degree $d$ and $\delta(G) \geq d / 2$. Then either $G$ contains a subdivision of a clique of order linear in $d$, or $G$ has a $C_{4}$-free subgraph $G^{\prime}$ with average degree $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq d / 2$ and $\delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq d\left(G^{\prime}\right) / 4$, that is $\left(\varepsilon_{1} / 8,4 \varepsilon_{2} d\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)$-expander. Furthermore, $G^{\prime}$ has at least $n / 2$ vertices and $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \log ^{8}\left(\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| / d\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)$.

Note that we do not use the $C_{6}$-freeness of $G$ in Lemma 2.4. Using Lemma 2.4, to prove Theorem 1.2, it will be sufficient to show Theorem 2.5 below.

Theorem 2.5. Let $0<\varepsilon_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}>0$, where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the constant from Corollary 2.2. Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex bipartite, $\left\{C_{4}, C_{6}\right\}$-free, $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} d^{2}\right)$-expander graph with average degree $d, \delta(G) \geq d / 4$ and $\Delta(G) \leq d \log ^{8} n$. Then $G$ contains a $T K_{\ell / 2}$ for $\ell=c d$ for some constant $c>0$ independent of $d$.

We will need the following "independent bounded differences inequality" (see [16]).
Theorem 2.6. Let $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ be a family of independent random variables with $X_{k}$ taking values in a set $A_{k}$ for each $k$. Suppose that the real-valued function $f$ defined on $\prod A_{k}$ satisfies $\left|f(\mathbf{x})-f\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \sigma_{k}$ whenever the vectors $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ differ only in the $k$-th coordinate. Let $\mu$ be the expected value of the random variable $f(\mathbf{X})$. Then for any $t \geq 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(|f(\mathbf{X})-\mu| \geq t) \leq 2 e^{-2 t^{2} / \sum \sigma_{k}^{2}}
$$

The rest of the paper will be organized as follows: The proof of Lemma 2.4 will be given in Section 3 as well as the reduction of Theorem 1.2 to Theorem 2.5. The proof of Theorem 2.5 will be divided into two parts according to the range of $d$ : the dense case when $d \geq \log ^{14} n$ will be handled in Section 4, and the sparse case when $d<\log ^{14} n$ in Section 5. The proof of Theorem 1.4 will be given in Section 6. In Section 7, we will give some concluding remarks.

## 3 Reduction to "bounded" maximum degree

Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex bipartite $C_{4}$-free $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} d^{2}\right)$-expander graph with average degree $d$ and $\delta(G) \geq d / 2$.

In this section, we will show that we can transform $G$ into a subgraph $G^{\prime}$ with $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq d / 2$, $\delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq d\left(G^{\prime}\right) / 4$ and $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \log ^{8}\left(\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| / d\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)$, where $G^{\prime}$ is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1} / 8,4 \varepsilon_{2} d\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)$ expander. For simplicity, throughout this section, define

$$
t:=\varepsilon_{2} d^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad t^{\prime}:=4 \varepsilon_{2} d\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}
$$

To prove Lemma 2.4, we shall use the following two lemmas: Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 .
Choose a constant $c<\frac{1}{24000}$ such that $c \ll \varepsilon_{1}$. Set the parameters as follows:

$$
\ell=c d, \quad m=\log \frac{15 n}{t}, \quad \Delta=\frac{d m^{8}}{600}, \quad \Delta^{\prime}=d m^{4}, \quad \varepsilon\left(n, \varepsilon_{1}, t\right)=\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{m^{2}}, \quad \text { diam }=\frac{2 m^{3}}{\varepsilon_{1}}
$$

Note that $d$ has to be sufficiently large (say $d>1 / c$ ) so that $\ell \geq 1$.
If $m \leq 1 / c^{2}$, then $d \geq e^{-1 / 2 c^{2}} n^{1 / 2}$, and we can apply Theorem 1.4 to get a subdivision of a clique of order linear in $d$. Thus we may assume that $1 / m \ll c \ll \varepsilon_{1}$. By the same argument, we may also assume that $d \Delta \leq n$ and $n / d^{2} \gg 1 / \varepsilon_{2}$.

Let $L \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of all vertices of degree at least $\Delta$.
Lemma 3.1. We can find in $G$ either a $T K_{\ell / 2}$, or $|L| \leq \ell$ and $G^{\prime}:=G[V \backslash L]$ has maximum degree at most $\Delta$.

Proof. Indeed, if $|L| \geq \ell$, then we can choose a subset $L^{\prime} \subseteq L$ of exactly $\ell$ vertices, say $L^{\prime}:=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}\right\}$. We shall build a copy of $T K_{\ell / 2}$ using a subset of these high-degree vertices from $L^{\prime}$ as core vertices.

First we choose for each vertex $v_{i}, S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right) \subseteq S\left(v_{i}, 1\right)$ and $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right) \subseteq S\left(v_{i}, 2\right)$ such that:
(i) all $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ 's are pairwise disjoint, and each $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is disjoint from $L^{\prime}$ and of size $\Delta / 2$;
(ii) every $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is disjoint from $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} S_{1}\left(v_{j}\right) \cup L^{\prime}$, and each $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is of size $d \Delta / 5$;
(iii) for every $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, each vertex in $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ has at most $d / 2$ neighbors in $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$.

We can indeed select such sets:
For (i), since $G$ is $C_{4}$-free, for any $v_{i}$, every other $v_{j}$ with $j \neq i$ has at most one neighbor in $S\left(v_{i}, 1\right)$. Since $\left|S\left(v_{i}, 1\right)\right|-2 \ell \geq \Delta-2 \ell \geq \Delta / 2$, we can remove these neighbors of $v_{j}$ 's and $L^{\prime}$ from $S\left(v_{i}, 1\right)$ and then choose exactly $\Delta / 2$ vertices for $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$.

For (ii) and (iii), recall that $G$ is bipartite and $\delta(G) \geq d / 2$. Thus we can choose, for each vertex in $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$, exactly $d / 2-1$ vertices in $S\left(v_{i}, 2\right)$. Since $G$ is $C_{4}$-free, for a given $v_{i}$, all chosen vertices should be distinct. Thus we have chosen at least $(d / 2-1)(\Delta / 2) \geq 100 \ell \Delta \geq$ $100\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} S_{1}\left(v_{j}\right)\right|$ vertices, simply discard those vertices which are in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} S_{1}\left(v_{j}\right) \cup L^{\prime}$ and then choose $d \Delta / 5$ vertices for $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Clearly $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ satisfies both (ii) and (iii).

We now describe the greedy algorithm that we use to connect the vertices $v_{i}$ 's. Denote by $B_{1}\left(v_{i}\right):=S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right) \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ and by $B_{2}\left(v_{i}\right):=B_{1}\left(v_{i}\right) \cup S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$.

Greedy Algorithm: We try to connect these $\ell$ core vertices pair by pair in an arbitrary order. For the current pair of core vertices $v_{i}, v_{j}$, we try to connect $B_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ and $B_{2}\left(v_{j}\right)$ using a shortest path of length at most diam and then exclude all the internal vertices in this path from further connections. We need to justify that such a short path exists.

Suppose we have already connected some pairs using paths of length at most diam. We will exclude all previously used vertices from $B_{1}\left(v_{i}\right) \cup B_{1}\left(v_{j}\right)$ and also those vertices from $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right), S_{2}\left(v_{j}\right)$ adjacent to removed vertices from $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ or $S_{1}\left(v_{j}\right)$. Formally, let $U$ be the set of vertices used in previous connections and denote by $U_{i}:=U \cap S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ and by $U_{j}:=U \cap S_{1}\left(v_{j}\right)$. Define $N:=\left(\Gamma\left(U_{i}\right) \cap S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)\right) \cup\left(\Gamma\left(U_{j}\right) \cap S_{2}\left(v_{j}\right)\right)$. Then the set of vertices excluded is $U \cup N$. First we bound the size of $U$, it is at most

$$
\ell^{2} \cdot \operatorname{diam} \leq c^{2} d^{2} \cdot \frac{2 m^{3}}{\varepsilon_{1}} \leq c d^{2} m^{3}
$$

as there are at most $\ell^{2}$ pairs of core vertices and for each connection, the length of a path is bounded by diam.

Call a core vertex $v_{i}$ bad, if more than $\Delta^{\prime}$ vertices from $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ are used in previous connections. During the connections, we discard a core vertex when it becomes bad. We discard in total at most $\ell / 2$ core vertices. Indeed, we have used at most $\ell^{2} \cdot$ diam vertices. Since by (i), $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ 's are pairwise disjoint, each bad core vertex, by definition, uses at least $\Delta^{\prime}$ of them. Thus the number of discarded bad core vertices is at most

$$
\frac{\ell^{2} \cdot d i a m}{\Delta^{\prime}} \leq \frac{c d^{2} m^{3}}{d m^{4}}=\frac{c d}{m} \ll \frac{\ell}{2} .
$$

Hence there are at least $\ell / 2$ core vertices survive the entire process.
Recall that by (iii), each vertex in $U_{i}$ (or $U_{j}$ resp.) has at most $d / 2$ neighbors in $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ (or $S_{2}\left(v_{j}\right)$ resp.). Note that every survived core vertex is not bad, namely $\left|U_{i}\right| \leq \Delta^{\prime}$. Thus $|N| \leq \Delta^{\prime} \cdot d / 2=d^{2} m^{4} / 2$. Hence the total number of vertices we exclude from $B_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ (or $B_{2}\left(v_{j}\right)$ resp.) is at most

$$
\ell^{2} \cdot \operatorname{diam}+|N| \leq c d^{2} m^{3}+\frac{1}{2} d^{2} m^{4} \leq d^{2} m^{4}
$$

After excluding these vertices, we still have at least

$$
\left|S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)\right|-\ell^{2} \cdot \operatorname{diam}-|N| \geq \frac{d \Delta}{5}-d^{2} m^{4} \geq \frac{d \Delta}{10}
$$

vertices left in $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$, the same holds for $S_{2}\left(v_{j}\right)$. Recall that, when $x \geq t / 2, \varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}, t\right)$ is decreasing and $x \varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}, t\right)$ is increasing. So we have that the number of vertices we are allowed to exclude, by Corollary 2.3, is at least

$$
\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{d \Delta}{10} \cdot \varepsilon\left(\frac{d \Delta}{10}, \varepsilon_{1}, t\right) \geq \frac{d \Delta}{40} \cdot \varepsilon\left(n, \varepsilon_{1}, t\right) \geq \frac{d^{2} m^{8}}{24000} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{m^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1} d^{2} m^{6}}{24000} \gg d^{2} m^{4}
$$

where the last inequality follows from $1 / m \ll c \ll \varepsilon_{1}$ and $c<\frac{1}{24000}$. Thus the exclusion of these vertices will not affect the robust small diameter property between $B_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ 's. So the $\ell / 2$ remaining core vertices can be connected to form a $T K_{\ell / 2}$.

Given that $c$ is sufficiently small and now we can assume $|L| \leq \ell$, we have that $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq$ $n-\ell \geq n / 2$. Note that $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{2(d n / 2-\ell n)}{n}=d-2 \ell \geq d / 2$, thus $t^{\prime} \geq t$. On the other hand, $G^{\prime}=G[V \backslash L]$ and $L$ consists of vertices of degree at least $\Delta \gg d$, thus $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{n d-|L| \Delta / 2}{n-|L|} \leq d$. Hence $t^{\prime} \leq 4 t$ and $\delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq \delta(G)-\ell \geq d / 2-\ell \geq d\left(G^{\prime}\right) / 4$.
Lemma 3.2. The obtained graph $G^{\prime}$ is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1} / 8, t^{\prime}\right)$-expander.
Proof. Recall that $t \leq t^{\prime} \leq 4 t$. Since $G$ is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, t\right)$-expander, for any set $X$ in $G^{\prime}$ of size $x \geq t^{\prime} / 2 \geq t / 2$, it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Gamma_{G}(X)\right| & \geq x \cdot \varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}, t\right)=x \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\log ^{2}(15 x / t)} \geq x \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{1} / 4}{\log ^{2}\left(15 x / t^{\prime}\right)}=x \cdot \varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1} / 4, t^{\prime}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{t^{\prime}}{2} \cdot \varepsilon\left(\frac{t^{\prime}}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{4}, t^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon_{1} t^{\prime}}{8 \log ^{2}(7.5)} \gg \geq|L|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left|\Gamma_{G^{\prime}}(X)\right| \geq\left|\Gamma_{G}(X)\right|-|L| \geq x \varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1} / 4, t^{\prime}\right)-\ell \geq \frac{1}{2} x \varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1} / 4, t^{\prime}\right)=x \varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1} / 8, t^{\prime}\right)$.

Recall that $1 / \varepsilon_{2} \ll n / d^{2} \leq 2\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| / d\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}$, the maximum degree of $G^{\prime}$ is at most

$$
\Delta=\frac{d m^{8}}{600} \leq \frac{d\left(G^{\prime}\right)}{300} \cdot \log ^{8} \frac{30\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|}{\varepsilon_{2} d\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{d\left(G^{\prime}\right)}{300}\left(2 \log \frac{\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|}{d\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right)^{8} \leq d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \log ^{8} \frac{\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|}{d\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Slightly abusing the notation, we work in the future only with $G^{\prime}$. We will rename $G^{\prime}$ as $G$, relabelling $n=\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|$ and $d=d\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, and by changing $\varepsilon_{1}$ to $\varepsilon_{1} / 8$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ to $4 \varepsilon_{2}$, we assume that $G$ is $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} d^{2}\right)$-expander and its maximum degree is at most $d \log ^{8}\left(n / d^{2}\right)$. This completes the reduction step, i.e., to prove Theorem 1.2 it is sufficient to prove Theorem 2.5.

## 4 Dense case of Theorem 2.5

In this section, we prove the following lemma, which covers the dense case of Theorem 2.5 .
Lemma 4.1. Let $0<\varepsilon_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}>0$, where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the constant from Corollary 2.2. Let $G$ be an n-vertex bipartite, $\left\{C_{4}, C_{6}\right\}$-free, $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} d^{2}\right)$-expander graph with average degree $d \geq \log ^{14} n, \delta(G) \geq d / 4$ and $\Delta(G) \leq d \log ^{8} n$. Then $G$ contains a $T K_{\ell / 2}$ for $\ell=c d$ for some constant $c>0$ independent of $d$.

Let $G$ be a graph satisfying the conditions in Lemma 4.1. Choose a constant $c>0$ such that $c \ll \varepsilon_{1}$ and set $\ell=c d$. In addition, set the parameters in this section as follows:

$$
\Delta=d \log ^{8} n, \quad \Delta^{\prime \prime}=d \log ^{13} n, \quad b=\frac{d}{\log ^{9} n}, \quad \text { diam }=\frac{2}{\varepsilon_{1}} \log ^{3}\left(\frac{15 n}{\varepsilon_{2} d^{2}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{c} \log ^{3} n
$$

Note that $\Delta \gg d \gg b, \Delta^{\prime \prime}=o\left(d^{2}\right)$, and $\ell / b \leq d / b=\log ^{9} n$.
We will first find $\ell$ vertices, $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}$ serving as core vertices, along with some sets $B_{3}\left(v_{i}\right) \subseteq B\left(v_{i}, 3\right)$. We then connect all core vertices by linking $B_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)$ 's using a greedy algorithm. Similarly to the proof in Section 3, we might discard few core vertices during the process.

### 4.1 Choosing core vertices and building $B_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)$

We will select $\ell$ vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}$ in $\ell / b$ steps to serve as core vertices.
Stage 1: We choose core vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}$ and the sets $B_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ 's.
In each step, we choose a block of vertices consisting of: $b$ core vertices and for each core vertex $v_{i}$ a set $B_{2}\left(v_{i}\right):=S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right) \cup S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right) \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\}$, where $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right) \subseteq S\left(v_{i}, 1\right)$ and $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right) \subseteq S\left(v_{i}, 2\right)$ with the following properties:
(i) $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ 's are pairwise disjoint for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $\left|S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)\right|=d / 2$.
(ii) For every $i,\left|S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)\right|=d^{2} / 10$.
(iii) Every vertex $w \in S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ has at most $d / 4$ neighbors in $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$.
(iv) Inside each block, the sets $B_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ 's are pairwise disjoint.
(v) Every $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is disjoint from $\cup_{j=1}^{\ell} S_{1}\left(v_{j}\right)$.
(vi) For every $i \neq j, v_{i} \notin B_{2}\left(v_{j}\right)$.

To achieve this, we first choose a core vertex $v_{i}$ with sets $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ of size $d / 2$ and $S_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right) \subseteq$ $S\left(v_{i}, 2\right)$ of size $d^{2} / 8-d / 2$ for all $i \leq \ell$. We then choose $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right) \subseteq S_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Suppose we have chosen some core vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i-1}$ and sets $S_{1}\left(v_{j}\right)$ and $S_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{j}\right)$ 's for $j \leq i-1$. Denote by $D$ the current block and let $B_{1}\left(v_{j}\right):=S_{1}\left(v_{j}\right) \cup\left\{v_{j}\right\}, j \leq i-1$. To choose the next core vertex $v_{i}$, we will exclude $\left\{\bigcup_{j \leq i-1} B_{1}\left(v_{j}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\bigcup_{v_{k} \in D} S_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{k}\right)\right\}$. The number of excluded vertices is at most

$$
\sum_{j \leq i}\left|B_{1}\left(v_{j}\right)\right|+b \cdot \max _{v_{k} \in D}\left|S_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{k}\right)\right| \leq \ell d+b \cdot d^{2} / 2 \leq b \cdot d^{2}
$$

The number of the edges incident to the excluded vertices is at most

$$
\Delta \cdot b \cdot d^{2}=\frac{d^{4}}{\log n} \ll \frac{d n}{2}=e(G),
$$

the last inequality holds since $G$ is $C_{6}$-free and therefore $d=O\left(n^{1 / 3}\right)$ (see [2]). Thus, we can easily find in $G$, excluding these vertices, a subgraph $G^{\prime}$ with average degree at least $d / 2$ and minimum degree at least $d / 4$. We then choose $v_{i}$ to be any vertex in $G^{\prime}$ of degree at least $d / 2$. Choose $d / 2$ neighbors of $v_{i}$ to be $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Since $G$ is bipartite, for each vertex $u \in S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$, we can choose $d / 4-1$ neighbors of $u$ not in $B_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Again, by $C_{4}$-freeness, we have chosen $d^{2} / 8-d / 2$ different vertices. Denote the resulting set $S_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Note that in the process above, for any $i>j$, the set $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is chosen after $S_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{j}\right)$. Thus when choosing $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$, vertices in $S_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{j}\right)$ could be included if $v_{i}$ is in a different block from $v_{j}$. Since $\left|S_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right) \backslash \cup_{j \leq \ell} S_{1}\left(v_{j}\right)\right| \geq\left|S_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)\right|-\ell \cdot d \geq d^{2} / 10$, we choose a subset of $S_{2}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right) \backslash \cup_{j \leq \ell} S_{1}\left(v_{j}\right)$ of size exactly $d^{2} / 10$ to be $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$.
Stage 2: For each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, choose $S_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)$ of size $d^{3} / 50$ and $B_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)$.
For each vertex in $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$, since $G$ is bipartite and $C_{4}$-free, we can choose $d / 4-1$ of its neighbors not in $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right) \cup S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ and denote the resulting set $S_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Since $G$ is $C_{6}$-free, $\left|S_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)\right|=\left|S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)\right| \cdot(d / 4-1)=d^{3} / 40-d^{2} / 10$. Delete from $S_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)$ any vertex in $\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} B_{1}\left(v_{j}\right)$. Since we delete at most $d^{2}$ vertices, we can choose a subset of size $d^{3} / 50$ to be $S_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Let $B_{3}\left(v_{i}\right):=B_{2}\left(v_{i}\right) \cup S_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)$.

### 4.2 Connecting core vertices

Greedy Algorithm: Now we will connect the $\ell$ core vertices pair by pair in an arbitrary order. For each pair $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, we will connect them with a path of length at most diam avoiding $\bigcup_{p \neq i, j} B_{1}\left(v_{p}\right)$.

## (I) Discard bad core vertices:

Call a core vertex $v_{i}$ bad, if we use more than $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ vertices from $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Discard a core vertex as soon as it becomes bad. During the entire process, we use at most $\ell^{2} \cdot$ diam vertices from previous connections. Since $B_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ 's are pairwise disjoint inside each block, each of the
excluded vertices can appear in at most $\ell / b$ many $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ 's. Hence, the number of bad core vertices is at most:

$$
\frac{\ell^{2} \cdot \operatorname{diam} \cdot(\ell / b)}{\Delta^{\prime \prime}} \leq \frac{d^{2} \cdot \operatorname{diam} \cdot(\ell / b)}{d \log ^{13} n} \leq \frac{d \log ^{3} n \cdot \ell}{c b \log ^{13} n}=\frac{\ell}{c \log n} \ll \ell / 2
$$

## (II) Cleaning before connection:

Assume that we have already connected some pairs of core vertices, and now we want to connect $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$. Before we start connecting them, clean $B_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)$ (do the same for $B_{3}\left(v_{j}\right)$ ) in the following way. Notice that we have used in previous connections at most $\ell$ vertices in $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$, at most $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ vertices in $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ and at most $\ell^{2} \cdot d i a m$ vertices in $S_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)$, since vertices in $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ were only used when connecting $v_{i}$ to other core vertices and $v_{i}$ is not bad. Also, delete those vertices that are no longer available, i.e., those adjacent to used ones. Call the resulting set $B_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Since every vertex in $S_{k}\left(v_{i}\right)$ for $k \in\{1,2\}$ has at most $d / 4$ neighbors in $S_{k+1}\left(v_{i}\right)$, we have deleted at most $\ell\left(1+d / 4+d^{2} / 16\right)+\Delta^{\prime \prime}(1+d / 4)+\ell^{2} \cdot \operatorname{diam} \ll d^{3} / 100$ vertices. Thus $\left|B_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)\right| \geq\left|B_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)\right|-d^{3} / 100 \geq d^{3} / 100$.

## (III) Connecting core vertices:

We will connect $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ by a shortest path from $B_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)$ to $B_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{j}\right)$ avoiding $\bigcup_{p \neq i, j} B_{1}\left(v_{p}\right)$ which is of size at most $d^{2}$. This path has length at most diam if we do not break the robust diameter property. We then exclude this path for further connections. The number of excluded vertices from previous paths and from $\bigcup_{p \neq i, j} B_{1}\left(v_{p}\right)$ is at most $\ell^{2} \cdot \operatorname{diam}+d^{2} \leq$ $d^{2} \log ^{3} n$. On the other hand, the number of vertices we are allowed to exclude without breaking the robust small diameter among $B_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)$ 's is

$$
\frac{1}{4}\left|B_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)\right| \varepsilon\left(\left|B_{3}^{\prime}\left(v_{i}\right)\right|\right) \geq \frac{d^{3}}{400} \varepsilon(n) \geq \frac{\varepsilon_{1} d^{3}}{400 \log ^{2} n} \gg d^{2} \log ^{3} n .
$$

Thus the robust diameter property is guaranteed during the entire process.
This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1, hence the dense case of Theorem 2.5.

## 5 Sparse case of Theorem 2.5

In this section, we will prove the sparse case of Theorem 2.5. Throughout this section $G$ will be a sparse graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 2.5, i.e., an $n$-vertex bipartite $\left\{C_{4}, C_{6}\right\}$-free $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} d^{2}\right)$-expander graph, with average degree $d \leq \log ^{14} n, \delta(G) \geq d / 4$ and $\Delta(G) \leq d \log ^{8} n$. We always use $n$ for $|V(G)|$ and $d$ for $d(G)$. Inspired by an idea from [11] together with a random sparsening trick, we will show that in the sparse case, either we can find in $G$ a 1-subdivision (i.e., each edge is subdivided once) of some graph $H$ with $d(H)=\Omega\left(d^{2}\right)$, or there is a sparse and "almost regular" expander subgraph $G_{1}$ in $G$. In the first case, we apply Theorem 1.1 to find a subdivision of $K_{\ell}$ in $H$, hence in $G$, with $\ell=\Omega(\sqrt{d(H)})=\Omega(d)$. For the second case, we use the following result of Komlós and Szemerédi (Theorem 3.1 in [13]).

Theorem 5.1. If $F$ is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, d(F)\right)$-expander satisfying $d(F) / 2 \leq \delta(F) \leq \Delta(F) \leq 72(d(F))^{2}$ and $d(F) \leq \exp \left\{(\log |V(F)|)^{1 / 8}\right\}$, then $F$ contains a copy of $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\Omega(d(F))$.

The following lemma will be useful.
Lemma 5.2. Let $F=(X \cup Y, E)$ be a bipartite $C_{4}$-free graph. If $|X|=\Omega\left(d^{2}|Y|\right)$ and $\frac{e(F)}{|X|}=\Omega(\Delta(X))$, then $F$ contains a copy of $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\Omega(d)$.

Proof. In $F$, we call a path of length 2 with endpoints in $Y$ a hat. By the convexity of the function $f(x)=\binom{x}{2}$, we have that the total number of hats in $F$ is at least

$$
\sum_{v \in X}\binom{\operatorname{deg}(v)}{2} \geq \frac{|X|}{3} \cdot\left(\frac{e(F)}{|X|}\right)^{2}
$$

By the pigeonhole principle, there exists a collection of hats $\mathcal{H}$ with distinct midpoints of size

$$
|\mathcal{H}| \geq \frac{|X|}{3(\Delta(X))^{2}} \cdot\left(\frac{e(F)}{|X|}\right)^{2}=\Omega(|X|)=\Omega\left(d^{2}|Y|\right)
$$

Define a graph $H$ on vertex set $Y$, where two vertices $y, y^{\prime} \in Y$ are adjacent if there is a hat in $\mathcal{H}$ with $y, y^{\prime}$ as endpoints. Note that since $F$ is $C_{4}$-free, any two hats have different sets of endpoints. Hence, each hat in $\mathcal{H}$ gives rise to a distinct edge in $H$. Thus

$$
d(H)=\frac{2 e(H)}{|Y|}=\frac{2|\mathcal{H}|}{|Y|}=\Omega\left(d^{2}\right) .
$$

Since the hats in $\mathcal{H}$ have distinct midpoints, there is a 1 -subdivision of $H$ in $F$ with core vertices in $Y$ and hats in $\mathcal{H}$ served as subdivided edges. We then apply Theorem 1.1 to find a subdivision of $K_{\ell}$ in $H$, hence in $F$, with $\ell=\Omega(\sqrt{d(H)})=\Omega(d)$.

Let $B:=\left\{v \in V(G): \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v) \geq d^{3}\right\}$ and $A:=V(G) \backslash B$. Note that $|B| \leq \frac{d \cdot|V(G)|}{d^{3}}=\frac{n}{d^{2}}$, hence $|A|=|V(G)|-|B| \geq \frac{9 n}{10}$. We first show that we may assume that there is a $G^{\prime} \subseteq G$ with $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|=\Omega(n), d\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Theta(d)$ and $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq d^{3}$.

Lemma 5.3. We can find in $G$ either a $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\Omega(d)$, or there is a $G^{\prime} \subseteq G$ with $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 9 n / 10, d / 20 \leq d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq d$ and $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq d^{3}$. In the later case, there is a set $A^{\prime} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\left|A^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| / 2$ and for any $v \in A^{\prime}, \operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}}(v) \geq d / 10$.

Proof. Define $G^{\prime}:=G[A], A^{\prime}:=\left\{v \in A: \operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}}(v) \geq d / 10\right\}$ and $A^{\prime \prime}:=A \backslash A^{\prime}$. We distinguish two cases based on the sizes of $A^{\prime}$ and $A^{\prime \prime}$.

Case 1: Assume $\left|A^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq|A| / 2$. Then $\left|A^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 9 n / 20=\Omega\left(d^{2}|B|\right)$. Note that, by the definition of $A^{\prime \prime}$, for any $a \in A^{\prime \prime}$, we have $\operatorname{deg}_{G\left[A^{\prime \prime}, B\right]}(a) \geq \delta(G)-\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}}(a) \geq d / 4-d / 10 \geq d / 10$. We bound in $G\left[A^{\prime \prime}, B\right]$ the degree of vertices in $A^{\prime \prime}$ as follows: for each $a \in A^{\prime \prime}$ with more than $d$ edges to $B$, keep exactly $d$ of them and delete the rest. Let the resulting graph be $G^{\prime \prime}$. Then in $G^{\prime \prime}, \Delta\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq d$, hence $\frac{e\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)}{\left|A^{\prime \prime}\right|} \geq \delta\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq d / 10=\Omega\left(\Delta\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$. Applying Lemma 5.2 to $G^{\prime \prime}$ gives the first alternative of the conclusion of Lemma 5.3 .

Case 2: Assume $\left|A^{\prime}\right| \geq|A| / 2$. The graph $G^{\prime}$ was obtained from $G$ by removing vertices of degree at least $d^{3}$ (which were in $B$ ), thus $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq d$. On the other hand, by the definition of $A^{\prime}$, we have $d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{\left|A^{\prime}\right| \cdot d / 10}{|A|} \geq d / 20$ and $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq d^{3}$ as desired.

From now on, we will work only in $G^{\prime}=G[A]$ with the properties listed in Lemma 5.3. For the rest of the proof in this section, we fix sufficiently large constants $C^{\prime} \ll C \ll K$ and a small constant $c_{0} \leq \frac{1}{1000}$.

Let $W:=\left\{v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right): \operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}}(v) \geq c_{0} d^{2}\right\}$, and $U:=V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash W$. Note that $|W| \leq$ $\frac{d\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|}{c_{0} d^{2}} \leq \frac{n}{c_{0} d}$, hence $|U|=|A|-|W| \geq \frac{4 n}{5}$.

Lemma 5.4. We can find in $G^{\prime}$ either a $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\Omega(d)$, or there exist vertex sets $U_{0} \subseteq U$ and $W_{0} \subseteq W$ with $\left|U_{0}\right| \geq|U| / 6$ and $\left|W_{0}\right| \leq 2 C|W| / d$ such that $G^{\prime}\left[U_{0}, W_{0}\right]$ has at least $C^{\prime}\left|U_{0}\right|$ edges and every vertex in $U_{0}$ has degree at most $K$ in $G^{\prime}\left[U_{0}, W_{0}\right]$.

We first show how Lemma 5.4 completes the proof of the sparse case of Theorem 2.5. Let $U_{0}, W_{0}$ be sets with properties listed in Lemma 5.4. Note that $\left|U_{0}\right|=\Omega\left(d^{2}\left|W_{0}\right|\right)$. Denote by $G_{0}:=G^{\prime}\left[U_{0}, W_{0}\right]$. Recall that $\Delta\left(U_{0}\right)=K=O(1)$, thus $\frac{e\left(G_{0}\right)}{\left|U_{0}\right|} \geq C^{\prime}=\Omega\left(\Delta\left(U_{0}\right)\right)$. Applying Lemma 5.2 to $G_{0}$ gives a copy of $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\Omega(d)$. This completes the proof of the sparse case of Theorem 2.5.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. Recall that $A^{\prime} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ consists of vertices of degree at least $d / 10$ in $G^{\prime}$. Define $U^{\prime}:=\left\{v \in A^{\prime} \cap U: \operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}[U, W]}(v) \geq d / 20\right\}$ and $U^{\prime \prime}:=\left\{A^{\prime} \cap U\right\} \backslash U^{\prime}$. By Lemma 5.3, $\left|A^{\prime}\right| \geq \frac{\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right|}{2}=\frac{|U|+|W|}{2}$. Thus $\left|U^{\prime}\right|+\left|U^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|A^{\prime} \cap U\right| \geq\left|A^{\prime}\right|-|W| \geq \frac{|U|-|W|}{2} \geq \frac{2|U|}{5}$. We distinguish two cases based on the sizes of $U^{\prime}$ and $U^{\prime \prime}$.
Case 1: $\left|U^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq|U| / 5$. Note that for every $v \in U^{\prime \prime}$, by the definition of $U^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}[U]}(v)=\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}}(v)-\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}[U, W]}(v) \geq \frac{d}{10}-\frac{d}{20}=\frac{d}{20}
$$

Thus $d\left(G^{\prime}[U]\right) \geq \frac{d / 20 \cdot\left|U^{\prime \prime}\right|}{|U|} \geq d / 100$ and by the definition of $U$ we have $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}[U]\right) \leq c_{0} d^{2}$. Then we apply Corollary 2.2 to $G^{\prime}[U]$ and let $G_{1}$ be the resulting $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} d\left(G_{1}\right)^{2}\right)$-expander subgraph with $\varepsilon_{2}<1 / 1000, d\left(G_{1}\right) \geq d\left(G^{\prime}[U]\right) / 2 \geq d / 200, \delta\left(G_{1}\right) \geq d\left(G_{1}\right) / 2$ and $\Delta\left(G_{1}\right) \leq$ $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}[U]\right) \leq c_{0} d^{2}$. Let $n_{1}:=\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|$.

If $d\left(G_{1}\right) \geq \exp \left\{\left(\log n_{1}\right)^{1 / 8}\right\}$, then we apply Lemma 2.4 to $G_{1}$. Then either we have a copy of $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\Omega(d)$, in which case we are done, or we obtain a subgraph $G_{2} \subseteq G_{1}$ with $d\left(G_{2}\right) \geq d\left(G_{1}\right) / 2 \geq d / 400, \delta\left(G_{2}\right) \geq d\left(G_{2}\right) / 4$ and $\Delta\left(G_{2}\right) \leq d\left(G_{2}\right) \log ^{8} \frac{\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|}{d\left(G_{2}\right)^{2}}$, which is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1} / 8,4 \varepsilon_{2} d\left(G_{2}\right)^{2}\right)$-expander. Since $\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| \leq n_{1}$, we have that $d\left(G_{2}\right) \geq d\left(G_{1}\right) / 2 \gg$ $\log ^{14}\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|$. Applying Lemma 4.1 to $G_{2}$ gives a $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\Omega\left(d\left(G_{2}\right)\right)=\Omega(d)$.

We may now assume that $d\left(\overline{G_{1}}\right) \leq \exp \left\{\left(\log n_{1}\right)^{1 / 8}\right\}$. We want to apply Theorem 5.1 to $G_{1}$ to get a $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\Omega\left(d\left(G_{1}\right)\right)=\Omega(d)$. Recall that $d\left(G_{1}\right) / 2 \leq \delta\left(G_{1}\right) \leq \Delta\left(G_{1}\right) \leq c_{0} d^{2} \leq$ $72 d\left(G_{1}\right)^{2}$, where the last inequality follows from $d\left(G_{1}\right) \geq d / 200$ and $c_{0} \leq 1 / 1000$. It suffices to check that $G_{1}$ is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, d\left(G_{1}\right)\right)$-expander.
Claim 5.5. The graph $G_{1}$ is an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, d\left(G_{1}\right)\right)$-expander.

Proof. Recall that $G_{1}$ is bipartite, $C_{4}$-free and $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} d\left(G_{1}\right)^{2}\right)$-expander. For any set $X$ of size $x \geq \varepsilon_{2} d\left(G_{1}\right)^{2} / 2,|\Gamma(X)| \geq x \cdot \varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} d\left(G_{1}\right)^{2}\right) \geq x \cdot \varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}, d\left(G_{1}\right)\right)$, as $\varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}, t\right)$ is an increasing function in $t$.

It is known that in $C_{4}$-free bipartite graphs of minimum degree $k$, any set of size at most $k^{2} / 500$ expands by a rate of at least 2 (see e.g. Lemma 2.1 in [17]). Recall that $\delta\left(G_{1}\right) \geq d\left(G_{1}\right) / 2$ and $\varepsilon_{2} \leq 1 / 1000$, so $\varepsilon_{2} d\left(G_{1}\right)^{2} / 2 \leq 2 \varepsilon_{2} \delta\left(G_{1}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{\delta\left(G_{1}\right)^{2}}{500}$. Since $\varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}, d\left(G_{1}\right)\right)$ is a decreasing function in $x$, for any $x \geq d\left(G_{1}\right) / 2, \varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}, d\left(G_{1}\right)\right) \leq \varepsilon\left(d\left(G_{1}\right) / 2, \varepsilon_{1}, d\left(G_{1}\right)\right)=$ $\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\log ^{2}(7.5)}<2$. Thus for any set $X$ of size $d\left(G_{1}\right) / 2 \leq x \leq \varepsilon_{2} d\left(G_{1}\right)^{2} / 2 \leq \frac{\delta\left(G_{1}\right)^{2}}{500}$, we have $|\Gamma(X)| \geq 2 x \geq x \cdot \varepsilon\left(x, \varepsilon_{1}, d\left(G_{1}\right)\right)$ as desired.

This gives the first alternative of the conclusion of Lemma 5.4 .
Case 2: $\left|U^{\prime}\right| \geq|U| / 5 \geq \frac{4 n / 5}{5} \geq n / 7$. Recall that $|W| \leq \frac{n}{c_{0} d}$. Consider the subgraph $G_{3}:=G^{\prime}\left[U^{\prime}, W\right]$, by deleting extra edges, we may assume that each vertex in $U^{\prime}$ has degree at most $d$ in $W$. Then by the definition of $U^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{11} \leq \frac{2\left|U^{\prime}\right| \cdot d / 20}{\left|U^{\prime}\right|+|W|} \leq d\left(G_{3}\right) \leq \frac{2\left|U^{\prime}\right| \cdot d}{\left|U^{\prime}\right|+|W|} \leq 2 d
$$

Set $p:=C / d$. We will choose a random subset $W_{0} \subseteq W$, in which each element of $W$ is included with probability $p$ independent of each other. We then choose some $U_{0} \subseteq U^{\prime}$ consisting of vertices of degree at most $K$ in $W_{0}$. We will show that with positive probability, $W_{0}$ and $U_{0}$ have the desired properties. For simplicity, we define $G_{4}:=G_{3}\left[U^{\prime}, W_{0}\right]$.

We may assume that $|W| \geq \frac{n}{d^{2}}$, since otherwise $\left|U^{\prime}\right|=\Omega\left(d^{2}|W|\right)$ and $\frac{e\left(G_{3}\right)}{\left|U^{\prime}\right|} \geq \delta\left(U^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $d / 20=\Omega\left(\Delta\left(U^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then applying Lemma 5.2 to $G_{3}$ yields a $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=\Omega(d)$. Note that $\mathbb{E}\left|W_{0}\right|=p|W|$, by Chernoff's Inequality, w.h.p. $\left|W_{0}\right| \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left|W_{0}\right|=2 C|W| / d$. As mentioned above, we will delete vertices from $U^{\prime}$ with degree more than $K$ in $W_{0}$ to form $U_{0}$. It suffices to show that w.h.p.
(i) $e\left(G_{4}\right) \geq 2 C^{\prime}\left|U^{\prime}\right|$;
(ii) the number of vertices deleted (i.e., $U^{\prime} \backslash U_{0}$ ) is at most $\left|U^{\prime}\right| / 10$ and the number of edges deleted (from $G_{4}$ to form $G_{3}\left[U_{0}, W_{0}\right]=G^{\prime}\left[U_{0}, W_{0}\right]$ ) is at most $C^{\prime}\left|U^{\prime}\right|$.

It then follows that $\left|U_{0}\right| \geq 9\left|U^{\prime}\right| / 10 \geq|U| / 6$ and the number of edges in $G_{0}=G^{\prime}\left[U_{0}, W_{0}\right]$ is at least $e\left(G_{4}\right)-C^{\prime}\left|U^{\prime}\right| \geq C^{\prime}\left|U^{\prime}\right| \geq C^{\prime}\left|U_{0}\right|$ as desired.

For (i), recall that by Lemma 5.3, $\Delta\left(G_{3}\right) \leq d^{3}$. For each vertex $v_{i} \in W$, define a random variable $X_{i}$ taking value $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{3}}\left(v_{i}\right)$ if $v_{i} \in W_{0}$ and 0 otherwise. Then $e\left(G_{4}\right)=\sum_{i \leq|W|} X_{i}$ and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(e\left(G_{4}\right)\right)=\sum_{i \leq|W|} \mathbb{E} X_{i}=\sum_{v_{i} \in W} p \cdot \operatorname{deg}_{G_{3}}\left(v_{i}\right)=p \cdot e\left(G_{3}\right) \geq \frac{C}{d} \cdot \frac{d}{20} \cdot\left|U^{\prime}\right| \geq 4 C^{\prime}\left|U^{\prime}\right|
$$

Recall that $\frac{n}{d^{2}} \leq|W| \leq \frac{n}{c_{0} d}$ and $d \leq \log ^{14} n$. Applying Theorem 2.6 with $f(\mathbf{X})=\sum X_{i}$, $\sigma_{i}=d^{3}$ and $t=\mathbb{E}\left(e\left(G_{4}\right)\right) / 2 \geq 2 C^{\prime}\left|U^{\prime}\right| \geq \frac{2 C^{\prime} n}{7} \geq \frac{2 C^{\prime}}{7} \cdot c_{0} d|W| \geq c_{0} d|W|$, we have that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[e\left(G_{4}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left(e\left(G_{4}\right)\right)\right] \leq 2 e^{-\frac{2\left(c_{0} d|W|\right)^{2}}{d^{6}|W|}}=e^{-c_{0}^{2}|W| / d^{4}} \leq e^{-c_{0}^{2} n / d^{6}} \rightarrow 0
$$

For (ii), for each $u_{i} \in U^{\prime}$, we define a random variable $Y_{i}:=\operatorname{deg}_{G_{4}}\left(u_{i}\right)$. Note that for any two vertices $u_{i}, u_{j} \in U^{\prime}$, if they have no common neighbor in $W$, then $Y_{i}$ and $Y_{j}$ are independent. Define an auxiliary dependency graph $F$ on vertex set $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\left|U^{\prime}\right|}$, in which $Y_{i}$ and $Y_{j}$ are adjacent if and only if they are not independent. Since in $G_{3}$ every vertex in $U^{\prime}$ has degree at most $d$ and every vertex in $W$ has degree at most $d^{3}$, it follows that $\Delta(F) \leq d^{4}$ and by Brook's theorem that $\chi(F) \leq d^{4}+1$. Thus we can partition $U^{\prime}$ into $d^{4}+1$ classes, say $U^{\prime}:=Z_{0} \cup Z_{1} \cup \ldots \cup Z_{d^{4}}$, such that $Y_{i}$ 's corresponding to vertices in the same class are independent. First we discard classes of size smaller than $n / d^{6}$, the number of vertices we delete at this step is at most $\frac{n}{d^{6}} \cdot\left(d^{4}+1\right) \ll\left|U^{\prime}\right|$. Thus we may assume that each class is of size at least $n / d^{6}$. Fix a class $Z_{j}$, for every $v \in Z_{j}$ and every $i \geq K \gg C$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G_{4}}(v)=i\right]=\binom{\operatorname{deg}_{G_{3}}(v)}{i} p^{i}(1-p)^{\operatorname{deg}_{G_{3}}(v)-i} \leq \frac{d^{i}}{i!} \cdot \frac{C^{i}}{d^{i}} \leq e^{-i \log i / 2}:=q_{i}
$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $N_{i}$ ( $N_{\geq i}$ resp.) be the number of vertices in $Z_{j}$ of degree $i$ (at least $i$ resp.) in $W_{0}$. Then $\mathbb{E} N_{i} \leq\left|Z_{j}\right| q_{i}$. For each $i \leq \log ^{2} d$, by Chernoff's Inequality and recall that $d \leq \log ^{14} n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left[N_{i} \geq 2 \mathbb{E} N_{i}\right]<\exp \left\{-\left|Z_{j}\right| q_{i} / 3\right\} \ll \exp \left\{-\frac{n}{d^{6}} \cdot e^{-\log ^{3} d}\right\} \ll \exp \left\{-\frac{n}{e^{(\log \log n)^{4}}}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for any $v \in Z_{j}, \mathbb{P}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G_{4}}(v) \geq \log ^{2} d\right] \leq \sum_{i=\log ^{2} d}^{d} q_{i} \ll e^{-\log ^{2} d}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left[N_{\geq \log ^{2} d} \geq 2 \mathbb{E} N_{\geq \log ^{2} d}\right] \ll \exp \left\{-\left|Z_{j}\right| \cdot e^{-\log ^{2} d}\right\} \ll \exp \left\{-\frac{n}{e^{(\log \log n)^{3}}}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2), (3) and the union bound, the probability that there exists a class $Z_{j}$ in which either $N_{\geq \log ^{2} d} \geq 2 \mathbb{E} N_{\geq \log ^{2} d}$ or for some $i \leq \log ^{2} d, N_{i} \geq 2 \mathbb{E} N_{i}$ is at most

$$
\left(d^{4}+1\right) \cdot\left(\log ^{2} d \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[N_{i} \geq 2 \mathbb{E} N_{i}\right]+\mathbb{P}\left[N_{\geq \log ^{2} d} \geq 2 \mathbb{E} N_{\geq \log ^{2} d}\right]\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Note that $\sum_{K \leq i \leq \log ^{2} d} \mathbb{E} N_{i} \leq \sum_{K \leq i \leq \log ^{2} d} q_{i}\left|Z_{j}\right| \ll e^{-K}\left|Z_{j}\right|$. Thus w.h.p. the number of vertices deleted is at most

$$
\sum_{j}\left(\left(2 \sum_{K \leq i \leq \log ^{2} d} \mathbb{E} N_{i}+2 \mathbb{E} N_{\geq \log ^{2} d}\right) \cdot\left|Z_{j}\right|\right) \ll \sum_{j}\left(e^{-K}+e^{-\log ^{2} d}\right) \cdot\left|Z_{j}\right|<2 e^{-K}\left|U^{\prime}\right| \ll\left|U^{\prime}\right|
$$

The number of edges incident to vertices deleted in $Z_{j}$ is at most

$$
\sum_{K \leq i \leq \log ^{2} d}\left(2 q_{i}\left|Z_{j}\right| \cdot i\right)+\left(\sum_{i=\log ^{2} d}^{d} 2 q_{i}\left|Z_{j}\right|\right) \cdot d \ll\left(e^{-K}+d \cdot e^{-\log ^{2} d}\right) \cdot\left|Z_{j}\right|<2 e^{-K}\left|Z_{j}\right| .
$$

Recall that every vertex in $U^{\prime}$ has degree at most $d$ in $W$ and that $\left|U^{\prime}\right| \geq n / 7$. Then summing over all classes, the total number of edges deleted is at most

$$
\sum_{\left|Z_{j}\right| \geq n / d^{6}} 2 e^{-K}\left|Z_{j}\right|+\sum_{\left|Z_{k}\right| \leq n / d^{6}} d \cdot\left|Z_{k}\right| \leq 2 e^{-K}\left|U^{\prime}\right|+\left(d^{4}+1\right) \cdot d \cdot \frac{n}{d^{6}} \ll\left|U^{\prime}\right|
$$

## 6 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.4 using a variation of the Dependent Random Choice Lemma (see survey [5] for more details on the method of dependent random choice). The following lemma roughly says that in a dense $C_{4}$-free graph one can find a set in which every small subset has a large second common neighborhood.

Lemma 6.1. Let $G=(A \cup B, E)$ be a $C_{4}$-free bipartite graph on $n$ vertices with $\mathrm{cn}^{3 / 2}$ edges and $|A|=|B|=\frac{n}{2}$, where $n>1 / c^{20}$. If there exist positive integers $a, m, r$ and $t$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2 t} n-\binom{n}{r}\left(\frac{m}{n / 2}\right)^{t} \geq a \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists $U \subseteq A$ with at least a vertices such that for every r-subset $S \subseteq U,\left|N_{2}(S)\right| \geq$ $m$.

Proof. First notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \in A}\left|N_{2}(v)\right| & =\sum_{v \in B}(d(v)-1) d(v)=\sum_{v \in B} d(v)^{2}-\sum_{v \in B} d(v) \geq \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{\sum_{v \in B} d(v)}{n / 2}\right)^{2}-e(G) \\
& =\frac{n}{2}\left(2 c n^{1 / 2}\right)^{2}-c n^{3 / 2} \geq c^{2} n^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Pick a set $T \subseteq A$ of $t$ vertices uniformly at random with repetition. Let $W:=N_{2}(T) \subseteq A$ and put $X:=|W|$. Then by the linearity of expectation and $t \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[X] & =\sum_{v \in A} \mathbb{P}\left(v \in N_{2}(T)\right)=\sum_{v \in A}\left(\frac{\left|N_{2}(v)\right|}{n / 2}\right)^{t}=\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{t} \cdot \frac{n}{2} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{n / 2} \sum_{v \in A}\left|N_{2}(v)\right|^{t}\right) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{1-t} \cdot\left(\frac{\sum_{v \in A}\left|N_{2}(v)\right|}{n / 2}\right)^{t} \geq\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{1-t} \cdot\left(2 c^{2} n\right)^{t}=2^{2 t-1} c^{2 t} n \geq c^{2 t} n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Y$ be the random variable counting the number of $r$-sets in $W$ that have fewer than $m$ common second neighbors. The probability for a fixed such $r$-set $S$ to be in $W$ is at most $\left(\frac{m}{n / 2}\right)^{t}$. There are at most $\binom{n}{r} r$-sets, hence

$$
\mathbb{E}[X-Y] \geq c^{2 t} n-\binom{n}{r}\left(\frac{m}{n / 2}\right)^{t} \geq a
$$

Thus there exists a choice of $T$, such that $X-Y \geq a$. Delete one vertex from $X$ for each such "bad" $r$-set from $W$, and the resulting set $U$ has the desired property.

Claim 6.2. When proving Theorem 1.4, we may assume that $G$ is bipartite on $A \cup B$ with $|A|=|B|=n / 2, d(G)=d$ and all vertices in $B$ have degree smaller than $30 d$.

Proof. We may assume that for any $H \subseteq G, d(H) \leq d$, otherwise we can work in $H$ instead. Let $X \subseteq V$ be the set of vertices of degree at least $10 d$, thus $|X| \leq n / 10$. Let $Y=V \backslash X$. Since $d(G[X]) \leq d$, we have $e(G[X]) \leq d|X| / 2 \leq e(G) / 10$. Take an $\frac{n}{2}$-subset $B$ of $Y$ uniformly at random and call $V \backslash B=A$. Then we have,

$$
\mathbb{E}(e(G[A, B])) \geq 0.4[e(G[Y])+e(G[X, Y])]=0.4[e(G)-e(G[X])] \geq 0.36 e(G)
$$

Therefore there exists a choice of $A, B$ such that $e(G[A, B]) \geq 0.36 e(G)$. Hence we can replace $G$ by $G^{\prime}:=G[A, B]$, and every vertex in $B$ has degree less than $10 d \leq 10 \cdot\left(d\left(G^{\prime}\right) / 0.36\right)<$ $30 d\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Assume $G$ satisfies the conditions of Claim 6.2 and apply Lemma 6.1 to $G$ with the following parameters:

$$
a=\frac{c^{6} n^{1 / 2}}{240}, \quad r=2, \quad t=\frac{\log n}{4 \log (1 / c)}, \quad m=\frac{c^{6} n}{2} .
$$

In order to prove that (4) is satisfied, we shall prove $2\binom{n}{2}\left(\frac{m}{n / 2}\right)^{t} \leq c^{2 t} n$ and $c^{2 t} n \geq 2 a$. Indeed,

$$
2\binom{n}{2}\left(\frac{m}{n / 2}\right)^{t} \leq c^{2 t} n \Leftarrow n \leq\left(\frac{c^{2} n / 2}{m}\right)^{t}=\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)^{4 t} \Leftarrow \log n \leq 4 t \cdot \log \frac{1}{c}=\log n
$$

On the other hand, we have
$c^{2 t} n \geq 2 a=\frac{c^{6} n^{1 / 2}}{120} \Leftrightarrow \frac{120 n^{1 / 2}}{c^{6}} \geq\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)^{2 t} \Leftarrow \log 120+\frac{1}{2} \log n+6 \log \frac{1}{c} \geq 2 t \log \frac{1}{c}=\frac{1}{2} \log n$.
Thus there exists $U \subseteq A$ of size at least $a=\frac{c^{6} n^{1 / 2}}{240}$ such that for every pair of vertices $S \subseteq U$, $\left|N_{2}(S)\right| \geq m=c^{6} n / 2$.

We embed a copy of $T K_{\ell}$ with $\ell=a=c^{5} d / 480$ greedily as follows: first embed all the core vertices arbitrarily to $U$. Then we connect all pairs of core vertices one by one, in an arbitrary order, with internally vertex-disjoint paths of length 4 . Fix a pair of vertices $S \subseteq U$. For every vertex $v$ in $N_{2}(S)$, call $C(v):=N(v) \cap \Gamma(S)$ its connector set and call $v$ "bad" if $|C(v)|=1$. Since $G$ is $C_{4}$-free, $\left|N_{1}(S)\right| \leq 1$, so there are at most $\Delta(B) \leq 30 d$ bad vertices in $N_{2}(S)$. Any vertex $v \in N_{2}(S)$ that is not bad has $|C(v)|=2$. When connecting $S$, we will exclude from $N_{2}(S)$ the following vertices: (i) bad vertices (if they exist); (ii) vertices in $U$; (iii) vertices that were already used in previous connections; (iv) vertices whose connector set was used. It follows immediately that if there is a vertex left in $N_{2}(S)$, then together with its connector set, we can connect $S$.

For (i) and (ii), recall that there are at most $30 d$ bad vertices and $|U| \leq \ell$. For (iii), there are at most $\binom{\ell}{2}$ such vertices, one for each pair of core vertices. Thus there are at least $m-30 d-\ell-\binom{\ell}{2} \geq c^{6} n / 2-60 c n^{1 / 2}-\ell^{2} \geq c^{6} n / 4$ many vertices left in $N_{2}(S)$.

For (iv), we say that two vertices in $N_{2}(S)$ have no conflict with each other if their connector sets are disjoint. Notice that every vertex $v$ in $N_{2}(S)$ that is not bad can have a conflict with at most $|C(v)| \cdot \Delta(B)=2 \Delta(B) \leq 60 d$ vertices. Thus we can find at least

$$
\frac{c^{6} n / 4}{2 \Delta(B)} \geq \frac{c^{6} n}{240 d}=\frac{c^{6} n}{480 c n^{1 / 2}}=\frac{c^{5} n^{1 / 2}}{480} \geq 2 \ell
$$

not-previously-used vertices in $N_{2}(S)$ that are pairwise conflict-free. Again since $G$ is $C_{4}{ }^{-}$ free, any other core vertex in $U \backslash S$ can be adjacent to connector sets of at most 2 vertices in $N_{2}(S)$. Thus there are at least $2 \ell-2(\ell-2)=4$ vertices available in $N_{2}(S)$ to connect the pair of vertices in $S$.

## 7 Concluding Remarks

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 1.2, The only differences is to generalize Lemma 4.1 to $\left\{C_{4}, C_{2 k}\right\}$-free graphs for any $k \geq 4$. First we need a result of Kühn and Osthus [10], which finds a $C_{4}$-free subgraph $G^{\prime}$ in a $C_{2 k}$-free graph $G$ for $k \geq 4$ such that $d\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\Omega(d(G))$. Then after cleaning $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ and $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ (as in Section 4.2), $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ still has $\Omega\left(d^{2}\right)$ vertices. Recall that each vertex in $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$ sends $\Omega(d)$ edges to $S_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)$, then by a well-known result of Bondy and Simonovits [2], we have that there are at least $\Omega\left(d^{3-3 /(k+1)}\right)$ vertices available in $S_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)$ after cleaning $S_{1}\left(v_{i}\right)$ and $S_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$. We further clean $S_{3}\left(v_{i}\right)$ by deleting at most $\ell^{2} \cdot$ diam vertices. For $k \geq 4, d^{3-3 /(k+1)} \varepsilon\left(d^{3-3 /(k+1)}\right) \gg \ell^{2} \cdot \operatorname{diam}+d^{2}$, thus the robust diameter property is guaranteed for all connections.
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